

A. Email responses:

RESPONDENT	COMMENTS
(e1) Traffic Management Officer, (Thames Valley Police)	No objection
(e2) Local group/organisation, (Oxfordshire Liveable Streets)	<p>Support – The gains from Controlled Parking Zones elsewhere have been clear. Putting DYs on junctions and corners will reduce road danger. And restricting commuter parking is essential to the longer-term plans for Oxford's traffic management.</p> <p>However the one cycle parking bay going in as part of this scheme seems almost tokenistic. This is a lost opportunity to put at least some visitor cycle parking onto every street in the area.</p> <p>And while none of the current micromobility schemes cover this area, the contracts are being renegotiated now and it would be good to see the schemes extended to do so - which would require allocation of kerbside space for micromobility bays. The significant gradients in this area make e-bike and e-scooters relatively more attractive.</p> <p>The forthcoming upgrade of walking and cycling access on Botley Rd under the railway line, the Oxpens foot-cycle bridge, and other improvements will make routes from this area into Oxford city centre much more accessible for cycling and scooting. We should be planning ahead for that.</p>
(e3) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)	<p>I can understand that lower down the hill eg St Pauls Crescent & Arthray road where commuter's parking is a problem but in our small close it is not a problem and is unnecessary & parking is generally resolved between ourselves.</p> <p>This seems like a money making gambit & I'm blown if I will pay £80 to park in my own street not to mention the bureaucracy required to get permits.</p>

	<p>Anyway, I can't see that this area will be policed very frequently so this is all a ridiculous waste of money which would be better off seeing to the many potholes.</p>
<p>(e4) Local resident, (Botley, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Sometime ago we wrote about the consistent problems with commuters parking in Hurst Rise Road. However, at the time financing a resolution to this did not seem to be available. We are pleased to read that this has changed because it will undoubtedly make it much safer for the pedestrian, cyclist and motorist not having to navigate parked cars at the junction with Cumnor Hill/West Way. However, it will not solve the problem unless the whole of Hurst Rise Road is permit only parking because restricting a short distance in the road will only serve to move commuter parking further up Hurst Rise Road. Looking at the other end of Hurst Rise Road outside Matthew Arnold School there is a big problem with parking this end & the majority of the time is in a very dangerous manner obscuring vision for the motorist to turn out of Hurst Rise Road onto Arnolds Way.</p> <p>Therefore consideration should also be given to double yellow lines at this junction. Once again there is a need to be mindful that double yellow lines will once again move cars further down into Hurst Rise Road which is not in anyones interest. So again we feel that the whole street should be for permit holders only.</p> <p>I now have some photos of the situation we are faced with on a daily basis with parking on the junction with Hurst Rise Road & Arnolds Way. This is illegal anyway as far as the Highway Code goes but it appears nobody takes any notice of this. However, as mentioned in our previous email just painting double yellow lines at the junction will only serve to move all the parking farther down or up the street as it applies to each end of Hurst Rise Road so it needs to go hand in hand with Residents Parking only for the whole street.</p>
<p>(e5) Local resident, (Botley, Springfield Road)</p>	<p>I wish to register my objections to parking permits. I don't believe there is a significant problem parking here and I think the economic impact doesn't justify the proposal. My customers will have to pay the extra charges which are unnecessary</p>
<p>(e6) Local resident, (Botley, Maple Close)</p>	<p>I write to register my objections to the Botley: Westminster Way area - proposed residential permit parking</p> <p>Question marks over the consultation details</p> <p>The background to this formal consultation is that an informal consultation on the same issue was launched in the autumn of 2025 (closed 17/10/25). In that consultation, it was highlighted that section 106 money was set aside</p>

to complete a feasibility study to assess potential new parking measures as a result of the west way shopping centre redevelopment. The area consulted upon for new parking measures covered most of the Botley parish and a small section of the Cumnor parish. The outcome and data collected from this feasibility study was described as follows in the 'What next' section of the informal consultation:

'In discussion with the relevant County Councillor(s), the feedback from the consultation will be reviewed after it closes, and if there is a consensus for parking measures to be taken forward, these will be subject to further 'formal' consultation with residents at a later date.'

Therefore, we can assume there was such a consensus. We can also assume that the consensus is backed by hard data from the informal consultation exercise and any other activity undertaken as part of the feasibility study that was financed by the section 106 money set aside from the Westway shopping centre development.

The struggle has been to uncover what information exists that drives the need for this consultation. You did forward my request for further information to Manjinder Jutla and Manjinder did send me a map showing an overview of residential responses. He was unable to answer follow up questions. He asked me to submit my questions as part of the consultation process. I do not consider this to be reasonable on the part of the council. The questions asked are not unreasonable or particularly complex. Does the council expect me to raise a Freedom of Information request every time I ask a question? That seems unreasonable and a very expensive strategy on the part of the council. I cannot see how the council can claim they have consulted widely and taken account of local residents' points of view if they are not prepared to be open about the findings of the feasibility study and informal consultation. The secretive approach that the council is adopting, invites the accusation of what the real motives are of the process.

However, I do include the following questions that I emailed to the council that relate to the pdf map that was sent to me (see below)

'Looking at your attached document, when you say the majority of residents want a particular parking measure does that actually mean a majority of residents or simply a majority of those who responded. Can you provide details about the numbers of people that responded in each road? I am NOT asking for details about which address said what.

I note that Sycamore Road (the close off Lime Road) has no colour or coloured disc associated with it. Does that mean nobody responded to the informal consultation?

I note that in the formal consultation documents, the council refers to 2 parliamentary acts that talk about what the council can do in response to safety issues and freedom of movement. I am interested to know if the road safety figures for any of the roads in this area are particularly high (compared to national averages). Also has the bus company, district council (refuse collection) or emergency services complained about access?'

I also attended my local councillor's surgery to ask about her views. It appeared that her arguments for the need for parking permits were driven mostly by specific complaints about improper parking that blocked peoples access to their driveway. She also was aware of some complaints from the bus company and maybe some issues raised by emergency services although this was not quite so clear. I think she agreed with me that the data was not clear and she undertook to dig further. However, given the time left in the consultation, I think that will give local people little opportunity to digest the understanding that such data offers.

I also thought the research data from the council officer contradicted the perhaps more circumstantial evidence offered by the councillor. She repeatedly mentioned the problems with parking in Conifer close yet the council supplied map seems to suggest the residents in the street do not consider there is a parking problem in their close and do not want any parking measures taken.

Given the driver for this formal consultation was that there is a clear consensus for parking measures, I would dispute that the council have done nearly enough research or engaged with the community sufficiently well to justify moving forward with this process.

In an attempt to add a bit more context to my questions above. I am not especially aware that the roads on this estate are especially dangerous (certainly not when compared to national averages). I have lived here for over 32 years. It is not to say that a problem exists but I am not aware of it. I do not subscribe to the argument that 'there is an accident waiting to happen'. I want to see the data over the previous decades with trends highlighted.

What are the issues with buses? I am aware that the buses do occasionally have alterations with local drivers. The issue is usually relating to who should give way first and allow the other to pass. I am not aware of prolonged stand offs. I am not aware of violent responses. I don't know if there is any real data about the quantity of such incidents but doubt it will justify burdening the residents with parking costs simply because of some bad behaviour from individual motorists.

I am also aware that the bus sometimes has difficulties turning at junctions. I am not aware that this is a problem that happens on a regular basis. However, the impact on the transport system efficiency might be a problem. However, even if it is an issue, surely effective policing of the current parking measures is the solution.

Implementing further restrictions will not make a difference particularly given that the area being considered affects such a small proportion of the bus route. For most roads being targeted by these parking measures, the impact on the buses will be minimal (not least because most of the roads don't have buses passing along them). Implementing further measures will not make a difference if they are not enforced as the current measures are not being enforced.

Issues with emergency vehicles? I am not aware of any.

Issues with Refuse collection? I am not aware that there are any and I don't believe the district council has made any complaints.

Who is actually pushing for parking permits? The map provided by the council officer suggested that the residents of Springfield Road feel there is a parking problem on their road and that they would like a parking permit scheme implemented. This was relatively easy position to check. Springfield Road only has about 15 residences on it. When I knocked on the door to check their point of view, I found the residents had an opposite opinion. Could it be that individuals who shout loudly are the true drivers of this process? I don't think that is a reasonable approach or justification. Given only two roads in the area targeted for parking permits 'voted' for parking permits and that I have already determined that one of those roads does not support that position, I question whether the council has substantive or, in fact, any support from local people for this scheme.

I also note that some of the documents listed on the consultation web site do not open properly if you don't have access to the latest version of Microsoft word. That is not fair on those who have older software or use a different operating system such as that you might find on Apple products.

Do we really have a parking problem?

What is the purpose of a public highway? I think the clue is in the title. Public implies that Mrs Smith of Inverness is entitled to find a space on the public highway, park and go about her lawful business. I think that anyone reading this will have had occasion to park on the public highway for one reason or another. It seems a bit rich to suggest others cannot park in our local area. No one will have deeds declaring that the space on the road outside their home is for their personal use. Indeed, the council makes that clear in the consultation documents. If we remove the sense of entitlement and confirm there are no safety or access issues over and above the average for across the country, why do we need a controlled parking scheme. The council has not established this as fact. Perhaps we might run out of parking spaces on occasion (particularly the case when the Westway shopping centre was being rebuilt despite assurances that the workforce would use the park and ride!).

However, if safety and access is not compromised then the parking situation simply becomes self-regulating. When space runs out, no more cars can park. All that is required is for the proper enforcement of current parking regulations.

Is this a complaint about the volume of vehicles or is it about the way some vehicles park?

Do a few loud voices obscure the majority view? It seems to me that the only other justification for a parking permit scheme is to support the local economy. If the argument was that local businesses need to guarantee parking access, then perhaps there is an argument. However, charging local businesses to park at the rates proposed will do more damage than it solves. As a local businessman whose business is almost exclusively focused on this area, I can say there is very little evidence of parking impacting the local economy. Other than enforcing the local parking rules already in force, there are no requirements for extra parking measures.

What about the economic impact?

Parking for small businesses at £20/day or £33 for up to a week will have a devastating impact on the local economy. These costs will be added directly to the customer bill. There is no scope for efficiency improvements that perhaps mitigates the extra charges. I couldn't get a small van or one that is electric that might support other council goals and therefore reduce the cost. It is a flat charge and will be recovered from local people by increased prices.

That is unfair because it is those who have smaller houses and limited driveway space that will pay the most. As a professional gardener, many of my customers are elderly or disabled and they rely on the help I offer. It is certainly not going to be the rich and wealthy that pay this bill. Some of the tools I use are 100kg in weight. I have to park close to my client with my van. I cannot take them on the bus as an alternative. If I come to a client twice a month (not unusual), I would use all their free parking vouchers. Should that client also need help from a window cleaner, handyman, cleaner, boiler service etc. etc., they will very quickly use all the other vouchers that they might buy. 50 vouchers is their lot and that is before you consider friends and family that might come and visit (you can bet these clients rarely travel anyway!). This scheme penalises the most vulnerable in need of help.

There is only one source of revenue in any supply chain to cover the costs incurred in delivering the service. The customer always pays. That will result in people having to make choices. Which services do they really need. Those other businesses will have to do without their custom. All this because the council wants to push through a parking permit scheme that is not wanted or more importantly required.

It is possible that the council sees this as an opportunity to drive down car use and ownership. The problem is that without an effective efficient alternative, the attempt will fail. The council seem to fail to understand why people own cars. The fact is that driving is the most efficient way of getting from A to B in probably 80% of journeys that people undertake. This is of considerable economic significance. It is certainly one of the most significant drivers of growth in the 20th century. The council might get that down to say 75% through investment in alternatives that are more efficient at moving people than the car. However, that will only happen if the investment is made up front. If you are successful, you won't need penalties and taxes to force people out of cars. People will always use the more efficient option because time is precious to people. People hate having to travel in the vast majority of cases. Penalties and taxes just anger people when little or frivolous effort is put into alternatives. The council should be creating transport plans that integrates people cars into the transport mix. That would be economically enhancing. Parking strategy should be part of the plan. This scheme has little strategic value.

It undermines the country's economic performance. Some in the council may think this is somewhat of an overreaction but let's consider another analogy. What if I said I am not going to recycle anymore? I could reason my efforts won't make a material difference so what is the point? However, the point is that recycling works because everyone does their bit. Now consider the council parking strategy. Surely, it won't make that much of a difference? However, if all councils act in this way creating arbitrary parking schemes that impact the efficiency of local business and push up cost bases, the economy will be affected. Small business delivers most of the economic drive for the country. They employ most of the people and pay a lot of tax. If you force them to close, government will have to close hospitals, schools, police stations and cut local government grants. The irony isn't lost.

The environmental impact?

I am a gardener who recycles on site. I purposely do not have a waste licence and encourage clients to recycle all organic material on site. It is good for their garden, good for the planet and good for the council's cost base in terms of green waste collection. I am given to understand my approach aligns with council policy. I need significant tools to enable clients to recycle on site. So, despite my best efforts, the council now seeks to tax me off the streets so you can collect a parking tax that isn't required and very few want.

This policy does of course encourage people to dig up more front gardens thus reducing the need for gardening effort. However, that also causes greater storm water run-off problems and drives increased flooding risks. That in turn means even more remediation from the environment agency. We know that one of the main drivers for

	<p>the Oxford flood relief is the urbanisation of green land including the impact of front gardens being used as car parks. This scheme will deliver more flooding problems that others will be expected to solve.</p> <p>Is this really just a parking tax?</p> <p>Given the council has provided so little justification for this parking scheme, one might simply assume this is just another way of taxing people for other schemes the council cannot quite raise the finance for. I understand this is ring fenced revenue so I guess we can expect the money to be spent on more 20 mph limit signs or bus and cycle lanes. I doubt local people will get much benefit. It has been suggested that the scheme will be cost neutral. However, it is difficult to believe that. Will the council have to employ more people to run the scheme. I very much doubt it. Therefore, once the signs are paid for the money just rolls in year after year. It is not a difficult business case to reckon but it illustrates that very few in local government look at the bigger picture. Each area of government has its own goals and rarely considers the greater good.</p> <p>I also note that the area consulted upon for parking permits is a sub set of the roads considered by the original informal consultation. No doubt that means the council will seek a further consultation for the rest of the estate in the future. Because that will be a new consultation, I imagine the council will keep the two areas separate with different parking codes. That will double the costs for local business. If you have two clients to serve in one day, one in each area, the cost to the business will be £20 for each client. You will expect the business to purchase a permit for each area. There is a suspicion that someone in the council thinks this is a license to print money. I can assure you it isn't and there is no magic money tree.</p> <p>In summary</p> <p>I wish to object to the implementation of this scheme on the grounds of fairness and economic impact particularly because the council seeks to withhold the key data about its implementation.</p>
<p>(e7) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>I am writing to formally object to the plans to install a residential parking permit area on Cope Close in Botley. This specifically relates to point 'iii' in the public notice and but could be extended to other areas with similar layouts e.g. The Garth. The main reasons for objection are:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Size of Cope Close and the limited parking spaces for a scheme to be applied to.

	<p>a. Cope Close is a small cul-de-sac with a turning circle. With the proposed yellow lines extended, there will be 4 spaces to park which do not block dropped curbs. One resident is permanently parked in one of the spaces with the other three mostly vacant during working hours, except for trade visits. Please see attached photos.</p> <p>b. It would be a waste of funding available to put up signage and administer these four spaces, when funding available could be better used to fully resurface Arthray Road properly and could save the council money from pothole claims.</p> <p>2. Proximity to the Westminster Way shopping area.</p> <p>a. Cope Close is a 10-minute walk from the shopping area which is enough of a deterrent for day commuters to park there. Therefore the 'deterrence of day parking' should not be used for a justification for the installation of the parking permit zone and instead another justification should be sought for this street.</p> <p>3. Large quantity of dropped curbs on the Close</p> <p>a. The majority of the Close is dropped curb. The council will need to make clear whether visitors of a house with a dropped curb, who may be blocking the dropped curb will need to use a visitors permit and how this will be administered without the deployment of bays, further yellow lines or white lines.</p> <p>b. The council will also need to find space for the parking permit area signs, which will be a challenge, especially at the top of the Close where the whole turning circle is a dropped curb.</p> <p>4. Cost of living crisis</p> <p>a. With the war in Iran threatening to once again push up prices further for the general population, a further bill to deal with at this time could be considered as a tone deaf move from the council.</p> <p>5. Mental health crisis</p> <p>a. With so many mental health services at capacity, one would have to question the need for another 'hoop to jump through' for the general resident to avoid fines from a public body. Schemes like these are proven to increase anxiety, with common questions such as 'have I parked in the bay correctly?' or 'has my visitors permit been applied successfully?' all contributing to increased levels of anxiety in the neighbourhood.</p>
(e8) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)	<p>We welcome the CPZ, supporting the County Council in this plan. Since moving here over 14 years ago, we have been inconvenienced by persistent use of our cul-de-sac by drivers treating it as a free park-and-ride. This has included large commercial vans, and sub-contractors working on the lengthy West Way development.</p> <p>We wonder, however, why an e.g. 2-hour permitted parking period (as pertains in Oxford City) is not planned.</p>

	<p>In our particular case, due to the size of our drive, we will not qualify for a resident's permit. This will make it impossible for us to park elsewhere in the designated zone, a great inconvenience, especially in view of our late-80's ages. We sometimes park on the street outside local houses. We have only one car. Is there any leeway? Or can the Council rethink this stipulation?</p> <p>Lastly, how will the system be enforced? By whom?</p>
--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

B. Online responses:

RESPONDENT	COMMENTS
(o1) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking problems come from the high rise buildings and shopping area. They do not affect the neighborhood or areas we park in. Penalty to park in front of our residence is unacceptable. Difficulty to host guests is unacceptable. A tax on residents does not solve the parking issue at West Way.</p>
(o2) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>There's room to park on Westminster way. Problem vehicles, those working, such as contractor vans and taxis, will ignore the double solid lines anyway. This won't solve the issue and negatively impacts residents</p>

	<p>Only a portion of arthray road has parking issues while the proposal impacts a wide area of the neighborhood. Better close of action: remove parking restrictions on the enormous parking lot that the new development has. The high rise apartments without parking is the route of the issue, but they have room to park.</p>
(o3) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>I do not see a problem of people using these streets to park. There's no traffic or congestion around. It seems that the only benefiting here are the ones collecting fines or money for the permits..</p> <p>Furthermore, only 25 visitor permits is appalling. Surely locals should be entitled to more free visitor permits.</p> <p>I am a local resident and I struggle to understand the actual benefit of these changes to other locals. I am disappointed with these changes</p>
(o4) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Don't feel residents should have to pay for permits if they don't have parking</p>
(o5) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>Parking permits for residents</p> <p>It's not that big a problem and I do not want the hassle of getting permits for when friends visit.</p>

<p>(o6) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Rd)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>It's a good idea.</p>
<p>(o7) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Rd)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>While parking is sometimes an issue on our road it generally isn't too bad now the construction work has ended. I don't think it warrants resident's parking yet and I have concerns about introducing a permit scheme for a number of reasons. Primarily the issue is enforcement or lack of it. We already have cars regularly parked on double yellow lines and cars that ignore the pedestrianisation restrictions on Church Way. Yet penalties are rarely if ever issued and it appears there is very limited capacity to actually enforce the rules. I fear that if a permit scheme is introduced - it would be similar and wouldn't actually make much of a difference. My second concern is the risk that this just displaces the problem slightly further, for example further up Hurst Rise Rd or even more likely on Seacourt Rd and neighbouring roads on the other side of West Way. Finally I object to this on cost grounds - it puts a high price on residents. It isn't clear whether those of us who park on a driveway would need to pay for our own cars and would make it expensive for those needing contactors, regular carers or similar. On these grounds I object to residents permits because I don't think the benefits outweigh the costs.</p> <p>There are problems with people parking too close to junctions and obstructing visibility so I support the introduction of more yellow lines as this should hopefully make the roads safer for both drivers and pedestrians</p>
<p>(o8) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>This whole proposal is unnecessary, it will cost residents money that they can ill afford to lose and will discourage contractors from taking jobs in the area.</p>

	<p>I am frustrated that the Council is proceeding with this proposal, parking is not an issue in this area (no more than any other residential area). You are trying to solve a problem that doesn't exist with a solution which will be costly and annoying. Please don't go ahead with this.</p>
<p>(o9) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object there is restricted parking now but nobody ever checks</p> <p>if someone wants to visit or do some work at your property they should not have to worry about parking patrolled sensible parking should be tried</p>
<p>(o10) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Both restrictions, this is absolutely ridiculous.</p> <p>Because no one wants to have to pay for parking by their house! There is seriously no reason for it. Shame on you!</p>
<p>(o11) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I believe that there is a problem with the standard of parking in the area, mainly vehicles either blocking the road or blocking the pavement. Double yellow lines should help with the first problem. Parking wardens should deal with the other issue. It also might be helpful for the parking bays to be painted / more defined.</p>

	<p>I object to the principle that people should have to pay to park their vehicles on the road outside of their house. If the permits were free to residents I would be less inclined to object. However, having lived in other areas with parking restrictions I've found them to be more of a nuisance than of any help.</p> <p>Currently, absolutely everything is becoming more expensive and now apparently the best thing for the local area is to make parking outside your house more expensive to?</p> <p>In the case of families with young children and visiting grandparents who are there for Child care regularly. Are they only allowed to visit 50 times a year?</p> <p>I personally think the most pragmatic approach is to apply the new double lines and then reassess the situation once the Botley Road has re-opened.</p>
<p>(o12) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>The fee for residents</p> <p>Supporting the scheme because residents often cannot find parking. However, I am concerned about the fee.</p>
<p>(o13) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object</p> <p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>Charging me to park my veicjle outside the home ive paid council tax on for 24 years ,whilst putting up with the construction on west way project ,for which you at the council never cared if contractors parked here .building studeng accomadation and apartments with no thought to parking ,and now you want to charge me to park outside my pwn home its ludicrous.</p>
<p>(o14) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object</p>

	<p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I don't mind the introduction of parking permits but I object to the residents having to pay for them. Some houses have no driveways and or more cars than spaces. The parking has become a problem because of all the new flats in Botley and the council profited very nicely from the sale of that land, why should we now have to pay?</p>
<p>(o15) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object No 6. Introduction of double yellow lines on Westminster Way</p> <p>The existing traffic and parking restrictions in the area are already not being enforced. Cars drive through Church Way at speed through the pedestrian only 10am to 4pm area. Parking on our street is not an issue and we don't want to have to pay for new restrictions which will likely not be enforced anyways given the current restrictions already aren't. Making Westminster way double yellow will cause all the parking from the large houses with multiple cars to spill over onto adjacent roads.</p>
<p>(o16) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Both restrictions.</p> <p>I don't think it will be beneficial for the area, or improve the parking situation. It will have a knock on effect on the surrounding residential areas, resulting in residents having to pay for resident permits and visitors parking permits. There are existing restrictions in place which are not enforced, e.g. pedestrian only area 9am-4pm Church Way, so I don't believe that adding additional restrictions and costs will benefit the residents.</p>

<p>(o17) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I don't see the need for parking permits, since the development has been finished there is NOT a parking problem on Arthray Road. Any issues with parking come from illegally parked cars or badly parked cars which the proposals would not stop. 2. When we moved to Oxford nearly 10 years ago we didn't even look at houses in restricted parking areas and I fear making this area restricted parking would make homes harder to sell. 3. A friend who lives in an area that was made into a parking permit area a few years ago found that almost everyone who didn't have a drive, dug up their garden and made it a drive thus negatively affecting the environment and the look of the area. 4. The thought of adding costs to building work by charging contractors to park is unfair and will create an added financial burden to people already stretched to the limit. We have just had to have roof work done due to leaks and your proposals would have added £120 to our bill, money we can ill afford. 5 It feels like a way for the council to take more money from it's residents. It will add an unnecessary financial burden to everyone.
<p>(o18) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Residents permits, fantastic idea. Although absolutely unacceptable for current residents of these streets to pay you AN ADDITIONAL £80 per year so you can hand out licenses and control parking with wardens. How about no fee? Our tax money should be covering this, and if not, why not? Why isn't our tax money covering our needs? Otherwise why are we paying you council tax? I will absolutely not be paying anything to anyone for parking outside my own property.</p>

<p>(o19) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The cost to residents is outrageous and unnecessary. However, a certain level of charge would be fair if the Council properly enforced speed limits on the road.</p>
<p>(o20) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking is extremely difficult for residents in this area and increased controls are essential. The cost of permits send proportionate to the cost of enforcement and for most people the provision of visitor permits seems sufficient, though there may be cases where carers or medical staff need more regular access and provision for this must obviously be provided as a necessity.</p>
<p>(o21) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Object to having designated parking permits and don't want to pay a fee and make relatives friends complete on line forms to park! Elderly parents not it savvy either! Jerk it as is!</p> <p>Don't want to pay fees and mahe my family and friends have to do so! Elderly parents not it savvy</p>
<p>(o22) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p>

	<p>Residents parking</p> <p>Not necessary.</p>
(o23) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>I have no issue with people parking on Arthray Road. One of the benefits to living this far out of town is that we don't have to worry about parking restrictions in our daily life. I also object to having to pay to park on our road (fee for resident permit). Especially this far out of town and when we are so cut off from the city centre.</p>
(o24) Local resident, (Botley, Arthray Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I am generally in support but I have concerns about enforcement and cost. These measures need to work with the car parking provision at the West Way shops which is poorly signposted and managed and enforcement of the on street measures. Also charge for permits seem high.</p>
(o25) Local resident, (Botley, Cedar Rd)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>This proposal is an absolute disgrace and you should be ashamed to be putting it forward. This is obviously motivated by the vicious combination of idiotic anti-car bigots and the owners of the car park behind Elms Parade who want to force more people to pay to park in their car park. There is no need to restrict parking in Botley. There is no need for parking permits in Botley. There is no need for the proposed additional double yellow lines. There are already too many of them. There is no need to remove the parking spaces that the additional double yellow lines will remove. It is yet another stupid and wasteful dog in the manger proposal.</p>

	<p>This proposal is an absolute disgrace and you should be ashamed to be putting it forward. This is obviously motivated by the vicious combination of idiotic anti-car bigots and the owners of the car park behind Elms Parade who want to force more people to pay to park in their car park. There is no need to restrict parking in Botley. There is no need for parking permits in Botley. There is no need for the proposed additional double yellow lines. There are already too many of them. There is no need to remove the parking spaces that the additional double yellow lines will remove. It is yet another stupid and wasteful dog in the manger proposal.</p>
<p>(o26) Local resident, (Botley, Chestnut Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object I object to both! This will only move the cars from the permit roads to the ones further up and is a ridiculous money making proposal. I have been living in the area for over 15 years and have never had an issue with parking.</p> <p>I object! The surrounding roads are not as congested as it is being made out. I have been in the area for over 15 years and have never experienced any issues. This proposal, if there is a potential issue of parking will only move all the cars further up. At least currently the limited cars that are using these roads are spread out on all the roads within the area. This is a money making scam and will only in the next couple of years extend out to the entire area. The current proposed fee of £80 a year will only double annually as seen in other areas and make it even more expensive to live on Oxford! Like it isn't expensive enough as it is!</p>
<p>(o27) Botley & North Hinksey Parish Council, (Botley,)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Botley & North Hinksey Parish Council voted by a majority (8 for, 1 against, 1 abstain) in the 19th March full Council Meeting to oppose the introduction of permits on the basis of the £80 cost to residents, but to support the double yellow line placements. The area covered is at the centre of the parish of Botley & North Hinksey.</p>

(o28) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Conifer Close is overburdened with commuter vehicles on a daily basis, making parking very difficult for residents and residents visitors. The surrounding roads (especially Springfield, Arthray & Hurst Rise Rds) suffer with the same issue.</p>
(o29) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>It is clear that with a dentist nearby and more local businesses and shops, and people who want to avoid parking in the P&R and park here as a free P&R, something had to happen. It will be a safer place when all this is in place</p>
(o30) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Double yellow lines have for some time been needed at the junction of Cumnor Hill with Hurst Rise Road (the current white lines have less and less effect, although accompanied by an explanatory sign their purpose might have been clearer and made them more likely to have been observed), also at the junction between Hurst Rise Road and Conifer Close. That part of Hurst Rise Road bends deceptively and commuter (?) cars left on the road and in the cul-de-sac are real hazards to moving traffic.</p> <p>Parking restriction within Conifer Close would perhaps tighten up residents' use of the road for parking instead of on their driveway, leaving road space for visitors. I'm sure there are similar problems in other parts of the</p>

	<p>Westminster Way area. Arthray Road is particularly bad in my experience. Springfield Road is also bad, though the state of the road surface (max speed advisable 10 mph) possibly deters some motorists.</p>
<p>(o31) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns I'm fully supportive of nearly all the aspects of the proposal, and actually very happy to see this. My only quibble relates to the section of Hurst Rise Road between Conifer Close and Cumnor Hill (call this section HRR-CC). I think it would be better if</p> <p>I'm fully supportive of nearly all the aspects of the proposal, and actually very happy to see this. My only quibble relates to the section of Hurst Rise Road between Conifer Close and Cumnor Hill (call this section HRR-CC). I think it would be better if the whole of that section (HRR-CC) were to be double yellow lines rather than having a portion of it be residents only parking. The reason being that having any parking on HRR-CC seems to act as a choke point (effectively single lane) for traffic trying to enter or leave Hurst Rise Road from Cumnor Hill, which appears to create a danger zone -- there's very good visibility for vehicles coming down Hurst Rise Road, moderate visibility for those exiting Conifer Close (since they typically pause at the junction), but poor effective visibility for those exiting Cumnor Hill from above or below since traffic conditions require them to enter Hurst Rise Road 'more decisively' -- a situation I've noticed <u>very</u> frequently. It would clearly be an inconvenience for the residents of Hurst Rise Road if the double yellow lines were to extend further up Hurst Rise Road than the junction with Conifer Close, but given that there are no properties within the proposed boundary of eligible properties on HRR-CC and the proposal provides for resident parking adjacent to eligible properties on Hurst Rise Road and Conifer Close, extending the double yellow lines along HRR-CC would seem to not inconvenience any eligible residents whilst significantly improving sightlines and traffic/pedestriansafety.</p>
<p>(o32) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns Re No 5: We consider that blanket permit parking only is too severe. We think that the system should allow for e.g. 2-hour visitors' parking, as exists in Oxford. Re No 6: We are unable to comment on the suggested double yellow line aspect, as the map</p>

	Obviously, because we have a view.
(o33) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I support the proposals because it's often difficult to get past due to inconsiderate parking</p>
(o34) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking on Hurst Rise Road and exit onto Cumnor Hill is dangerous. I have had difficulty turning right towards Oxford as people have parked on the white lines. Also the road under the non residents cars is damaged and rarely maintained as cars are always parked there. Note: I would be in favour of a 2 hour parking limit at the top of Conifer Close to allow for short visits such as people going to the dentist</p>
(o35) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>The proposed scheme as it stands is broader than it needs to be. See question 8 for the specific reasons.</p> <p>As per the statement of reasons,' The Council continues to consider the provision of suitable and adequate parking and to (1) facilitating the expeditious, convenient, and safe movement of vehicles and other traffic; and in particular (2) to manage the demand between retail and residential parking and discourage commuter parking. Point 1 is not relevant in respect of roads which are a close or a crescent- and we live on one. In respect of point 2, there is no retail parking in the area in my experience of living here for 25 years, and whilst we recognise that</p>

	<p>on some streets (e.g., Arthray) there may be more resident vehicles than parking spaces at a property that is not widespread.</p> <p>At a strategic level we would ask what is the problem that you are seeking to solve? The aims as stated, for the reasons above, seem disingenuous. There are issues with parking on certain streets only- not the entire area- during the day. and this seems in all honesty as if it is aimed at (i) preventing commuters from using residential streets in the area and (ii) using this as a revenue generating scheme. Personally we don't have an issue with commuters parking on dead-end streets, and adhering to the restrictions/ lines, and for that reason we do not agree with the proposals as stated. We would also ask that any scheme you do introduce considers the parking needs of those that work in Botley, and provide a service to residents throughout the day,</p>
<p>(o36) Local resident, (Botley, Conifer Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>We are supporting the proposals because the amount of cars, not owned by residents, has increased substantially in the last few years. People are using it as an unofficial Park an Ride and unloading their bikes after parking or else going to the get the bus to travel onwards. The visitors to the dentist on the main road also park here as there is no room at the dentist's property. We also witnessed an ambulance, on one occasion, unable to drop their patient at the patient's house and they had to transport them in a wheelchair as they were unable to get through with cars parked on both sides.</p>
<p>(o37) Local resident, (Botley,)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>Proposed permit parking Mon to Sat in proposed area. I don't believe there is a problem with parking at present in the area.</p> <p>Thanks for your letter inviting us to consult on these proposals. I don't believe there is a problem with parking at present in the area. This is unlikely to help the residents of botley - just increase the demands of everyday living (such as applying for permits, additional admin for when visitors come or workman need to attend to properties). We don't not support this & don't see as being needed.</p>

(o38) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>More needs to be done to prevent pavement parking, especially along Arthray Road.</p>
(o39) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>It has become obvious since the new Botley shop buildings were erected that people are parking on the surrounding residential streets. I am concerned that too many cars are parked there, and that this is causing a safety hazard to the residents.</p>
(o40) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>The situation as is does not need introduction of parking restrictions or permits of any sort.</p> <p>I am a resident of the area in question and do not see any reason whatsoever to implement any of the proposals.</p>
(o41) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p>

	<p>If the parking permits are to be deployed, further yellow lines will need painting to define which side of the road permit holders can park on to avoid road blockages.</p> <p>I mainly object to my street Cope Close being included in the plans. With the increase in yellow lines, there will be circa 3 street spaces available which do not block dropped curbs. I sometimes park across my dropped curb when we have visitors and contractors and this space would not be used for commuters to west way. It seems like a money making scheme by the council which has not been thought through and does not align with the reasons stated. We live on a single lane cul de sac which is quiet and sleepy - not a commuting hotspot! I also have to question whether the site has been visited by the Senior Officer to check the practicalities of the scheme. Most residents park on their drive on the verge but like to have the option of blocking their dropped curbs should they have visitors. Any parking restrictions could also enable the complete blocking of our road without further yellow lines.</p>
<p>(o42) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object The entire proposal. There is no issue on this road for parking.</p> <p>I object as I consider the needs of my community. How will they be visited by friends and loved ones. How will their care companies visit to provide care? How will their GPs and opticians, social workers and healthcare workers support them when the are unable to park? There homes barely fit one car, let alone guests.</p>
<p>(o43) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Botley is not used for commuters, that is what seacourt park and ride is for, which is free. The residents parking is completely unnecessary, there is plenty of road and/or driveway parking for residents. We want to encourage visitors, and therefore businesses to Botley, not deter them. This would make Botley a complete pain for outsiders to come and spend money - on par with drivers to Cowley road. This only causes a headache for sorting out permits, and more work for the council to police it.</p>

	<p>Spend the time/effort on putting correct signage up in westway, making proper cycle paths, and repairing the state of the roads.</p>
<p>(o44) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Dangerous parking, people being blocked in caused by the West Way parking charges. Children and residents have to walk in the road because the pavements are blocked by cars. People should park on their own drive ways, and convert their front gardens if needed.</p>
<p>(o45) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Propose double yellow lines in Cope Close</p>
<p>(o46) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Too many cars parked in those areas. Please apply double yellow in all proposed permit parking area instead of Mon - Sat from 8am - 6pm.</p>
<p>(o47) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p>

	<p>Unnecessary to force Cope Close residents to pay c£100 per year when there is neither an actual problem nor likely knock-on effects from Westminster Way restrictions if imposed. Visiting family members to elderly residents etc. will also be forced to pay an unnecessary charge. It will make getting contractors to attend to works harder, too.</p> <p>I object to what is a cynical attempt to get more money out of Vale residents at a time of cost of living crisis. Elderly residents with visitors will be hit hard as they will also be penalised under the proposed scheme. The restrictions are not essential.</p>
<p>(o48) Local resident, (Botley, Cope Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>There is no justification for introducing parking permits for Cope Close - there is no problem with parking here, and particularly not in the area comprising the turning circle. Neighbours are co-operative and respect each other's needs, and it is too far from the shops and offices of the Botley Road for commuters or tradespeople to park here. A number of elderly and otherwise vulnerable people live in the Close who need frequent visits from family and health personnel. It is ridiculous in the first place that that these residents would be financially penalised through the introduction of permits, but even more significant is the negative impact on physical and psychological well-being. The choice of Cope Close seems arbitrary and ill-considered at best, especially since a number of other Closes have not been included in the proposal. It is hard to see what the proposal could usefully do, but easy to see that it is potentially damaging.</p>
<p>(o49) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Crabtree road is too far up the hill for a permit for residential parking it should only be applied up to the top of Finmore Road the cross junction with montagu road, we do not need parking permits for Crabtree Road as only residents can park outside thier house there is no other parking in the road for anybody else without blocking</p>

	<p>someone's access so there is no issue with parking on Crabtree Road so there should be no permits for this road it's too far out</p>
<p>(o50) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Rd)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Nowhere to park at all</p> <p>There is nowhere for cars to park of these proposals go ahead</p>
<p>(o51) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object We object to both restrictions</p> <p>There is no parking issue currently on Crabtree Road. We do not want the extra administration and hassle of complying with a residents permit holder scheme and managing this around visitors, family, trades people etc.</p>
<p>(o52) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object There is no parking issue currently. There is ample parking in the area around the West way development and elms parade and I have never had issues parking there but the proposed restrictions will cause substantial issues for contractors working on our property (building work often exceeds a week). Permits are expensive and the administration of them is wasteful use of council resources. Street light positions on the road outside my property prevents the conversion of front garden to off road parking and I fear that the restrictions would make it even more impossible should the space in front of my home become a permitted space to drop the kerb. Barriers to visitor parking would increase social isolation and family support. Strongly object to all proposed measures and feel ignored by the previous consultation to which I also replied.</p>

	<p>There is no parking issue currently. There is ample parking in the area around the West way development and elms parade and I have never had issues parking there but the proposed restrictions will cause substantial issues for contractors working on our property (building work often exceeds a week). Permits are expensive and the administration of them is wasteful use of council resources. Street light positions on the road outside my property prevents the conversion of front garden to off road parking and I fear that the restrictions would make it even more impossible should the space in front of my home become a permitted space to drop the kerb. Barriers to visitor parking would increase social isolation and family support.</p>
<p>(o53) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Most properties in the area already have at least one car park in their front garden. Residents still choose to park on the street and own 3+ vehicles, and this poses danger to pedestrians/cyclists. Also, people who should not be allowed to park on the streets (commercial vehicles, HMO residents, West Way flat residents, non-residents) keep parking on the street. At least the entire lengths of Westminster Way and Finmore Road should have double yellow line. These are major cycling and school commute routes. Cars parked on a side, often halfway on the pavement blocking it, are major safety hazards.</p>
<p>(o54) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>All of it. This seems to be yet another OCC money making scheme plus yet more Big Brother Control. This was my home from birth (I am 77j and I've never seen the "Problem". So I see your intervention as officious and irrelevant.</p> <p>As I said; This seems to be yet another OCC money making scheme plus yet more Big Brother Control. This was my home from birth (I am 77j and I've never seen the "Problem". So I see your intervention as officious and irrelevant.</p>

	<p>You will no doubt be wasting of my money on more traffic officials to enforce the new restrictions (and “justify” the charges)?</p> <p>Most houses, like mine and near neighbours, have parking for 2 or 3 cars on their forecourt and drive. Those that don't can easily convert their rather pointless front garden as we did long ago. So we don't need resident parking. In fact parking on any of the bus route is to put the vehicle in danger, as well as blocking the footpath. You can already prosecute cars who do this. The curb has inclined curb stones on it along much of Crabtree Road to allow cars to enter & leave their forecourt parking. Parking there would obstruct such access, but it happens rarely, because people are not stupid.</p> <p>I see this as the OCC simply wasting time & money, please use it more wisely!</p> <p>What evidence have you that there is a problem? Have you done a proper TQM analysis? If so then it has not been clearly set out.</p> <p>I object also because this urbanisation is very unsightly. It was needed in central Oxford, I agree, but not here.</p>
(o55) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>We haven't had any issues with the existing arrangements. Our house doesn't have any off street parking and we frequently have family coming to support which would be limited by the proposed 50 visitor permit cap</p>
(o56) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>No need to have parking permits in this area. 50 visitor permits per year feels far too restrictive; we are due to have a baby soon and would like to be able to have visitors like our family more than once a week</p>
(o57) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object</p>

	<p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking by non residents in crabtree is not really a problem. Almost all of the residents have drives and drop kerbs in front their drive so no one can really park there anyway. Also, why should residents have to pay for a permit? It should be free, or at least the first one should be. Also - if you are concerned about all day parking, why not have a one hour restriction in the middle of the day, which would prevent all day parking and reduce the need for so many visitor permits. Why not offer visitor permits (25 a year for free). I feel this is just another money grab to raise money for the council. WE DONT HAVE A PROBLEM WITH PARKING ON CRABTREE.</p>
<p>(o58) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Through this project you are reducing the accessibility for residents and visitors and it will have a negative impact on local businesses. I have concerns about the lack of drop-off for elderly residents, people with disabilities or residents with severe mobility who rely on carers and family. This proposal lacked transparency from beginning, it also failed to include detailed plans in order for us to make an informed decision.</p> <p>I am objecting the proposal. Please bare in mind that by removing parking, will force cars into nearby residential roads, causing congestion and parking difficulties elsewhere.</p>
<p>(o59) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object I have small children and I would like to continue having ability to have family members visiting without a restricted number of visits they can make in a year. I think the neighbourhood doesn't need parking. We need Botley area to have road without potholes.</p>

	<p>Parking is unnecessary here.</p>
<p>(o60) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I support these proposals but they absolutely MUST be properly enforced. Existing double yellow line parking restrictions are not enforced at all at the moment. If residents pay for a permit it is only reasonable to expect enforcement of the parking restrictions.</p>
<p>(o61) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>I don't wish to have 'Residents permit holders parking only' or 'No waiting at any time' (double yellow line). This is not suitable for this area at all.</p> <p>It is not suitable for this area. We are functioning very well as everything currently is, we don't need more restrictions.</p>
<p>(o62) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>I have been leaving in this area for the past 10 years and the amount of cars that residents have and the amount of street space available does not make parking a concerning matter to support the introduction of parking permits. It would just add up to an already increasing stress due to the fact of current introduction, of reduced speed that only make cars stay longer in the city and increase pollution, the fact that we need permits to travel wherever we want inside the city which is an attack to the freedom of movement despite the end goal, and the fact that works in the city are ridiculous. For example Botley Road, which paralyzed the city for how many years now ?</p>

	<p>Because I can see the city that I love going in a direction that is not aligned with what people actually need.</p>
<p>(o63) Local resident, (Botley, Hurst Rise)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The parking restrictions are not needed in our area, as there is no issue with parking availability. Restrictions would only make parking more expensive and complicated for residents</p>
<p>(o64) Local resident, (Botley, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>The problems in the Hurst Rise Road area are parents at Matthew Arnold school racing to and from each morning and evening. The commuters parked at the bottom of the road are useful as their cars act to slow down the traffic, and they are mostly commuting on bikes from here into town.</p> <p>There is no need for residents parking in this area. Furthermore if you make the bottom end of Hurst Rise Road residents parking only the commuters will move up the road until eventually reaching the top stretch near the school where all the parents and pupils and teachers park their cars, often with engines idling for hours on end. There are traffic problems in the new development at Botley shops, the traffic restrictions are ignored and people drive through all day making the pedestrian area outside the community centre and churches into a danger rather than a traffic free zone.</p> <p>The reasons are in the reply to the previous question i.e.</p> <p>The problems in Hurst Rise Road area are parents at Matthew Arnold school racing to and from each morning and evening. The commuters parked at the bottom of the road are useful as their cars act to slow own the traffic, and they are mostly commuting on bikes from here into town.</p> <p>There is no need for residents parking in this area. Furthermore if you make the bottom end of Hurst Rise Road residents parking only the commuters will move up the road until eventually reaching the top stretch near the school where all the parents and pupils and teachers park their cars, often with engines idling for hours on end.</p>

	<p>There are traffic problems in the new development at Botley shops, the traffic restrictions are ignored and people drive through all day making the pedestrian area outside the community centre and churches into a danger rather than a traffic free zone.</p>
<p>(o65) Member of public, (Botley, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Visitors. Most of the houses around mine have patios, where we can park our cars. We don't need to park outside, but visitors need it.</p> <p>Visitors. Most of the houses around mine have patios, where we can park our cars. We don't need to park outside.</p>
<p>(o66) Local Cllr (i.e. Town/Parish/District), (Botley, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns I have no objection to the proposal but parking restrictions should also be placed at the top of Hurst Rise Road . Parking in this area causes many problems to the residents . Thoughtless parking blocks access to the dwellings and greatly restricts veh</p> <p>As the local Parish Councillor I get many complaints from the residents regarding parking near the top of Hurst Rise Road</p>
<p>(o67) Local resident, (Botley, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p>

	<p>The cars that park at the bottom of Hurst Rise Road are useful as their parked cars slow down the traffic, and the drivers are mostly commuting on bikes from here into town.</p> <p>There is no need for residents parking in this area. Furthermore if you make the bottom end of Hurst Rise Road residents parking only, the commuters will simply move up the road until eventually reaching the top stretch near Matthew Arnold school where parents, teachers and pupils park their cars, often with engines idling before and after school. They tend to use Hurst Rise Road for access and the parked cars at the bottom of the road act as a traffic slowing funnel. We pay council tax to the Vale of White Horse: is that district council in agreement with is proposed change in parking which would see charges made by a different council if residents parking permits are introduced in this road beyond the proposed area as currently marked on the map?</p> <p>There are traffic problems in the new development at Botley shops, the traffic restrictions there are ignored and people drive through all day making the pedestrian area outside the community centre and churches into a danger area (especially by the popular cafe), rather than a traffic-free zone.</p> <p>Over the recent period of road works in the Botley Road, and before that construction of the windswept and unpopular Botley shops, Botley residents have been subjected to a huge reconstruction project in the centre of the community, major road redevelopment at the junction to the ring road, years of lack of reasonable access to the city centre, and recently the congestion charge. This last after years of ring road only access by car (to visit GP surgery, optician, dentist, look after grandchildren, and vet visits). It is not possible to take two buses for a hospital appointment, or pick up children from school in Marston. We pay road tax, car park fees, congestion charge, council tax and now you are proposing chargeable parking permits. We are retired. Why are there no shuttle buses in this city? Why no car parking/shuttle bus services to the hospitals (it works for airports - why not hospitals? Why no park and cycle facilities to encourage cycling? Instead residents are simply encumbered with yet another charge. I do hope this proposal for residents parking permits is not introduced. It's not needed and the impact would be to have faster traffic in this narrow road which is also a main route for children walking and cycling to and from Matthew Arnold School.</p>
<p>(o68) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I support the proposed double lines, particularly on our street (Hutchcomb Rd), as people do often park on these corners which is dangerous for people turning onto the street. It also makes it hard for cyclists to manoeuvre safely. However, my concern with the residents only permits are it makes it even more difficult for people to visit Botley. With the railway bridge still being closed, Botley is already inaccessible for some. Having permits makes it</p>

	<p>harder for people to visit residents in the area. Even though we would be eligible to apply for a permit, we have to supply vehicle registration details which means, if we do not have a car, we cannot apply for a permit to let our visitors use this. I think it further penalises residents of Botley.</p>
<p>(o69) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns Streets included in the restricted roads</p> <p>I am concerned that the restrictions stop just outside of our house, 27 Hutchcomb Road. We already have commuter cars parking outside our house when the streets near by are busy. The parking restrictions should continue up the hill towards Ceder Rd. This should also be the case for Hurst Rise Rd. Both these streets are much closer to the main road into town than some of the other roads listed in the restricted area.</p>
<p>(o70) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>I have lived in Botley for nearly 30 years and in all that time I have never known if any problematic issues with parking, in Hutchcomb Road particularly it has never been an issue with always road parking available. Although I have a drive there are times when I will need to park in the road or have family visiting, if these proposals go through it will please a further financial cost for permits to add to the overwhelming struggles in today's rise of costs for everything. It seems such an unnecessary proposal and another kick in the teeth for the occupants of Botley that have had to endure the fiasco of the Botley Road closure. As with the money making congestion charge, the parking enforcements would push people to clog up other surrounding roads, I hope this is rejected but I'm not holding out much hope.</p>
<p>(o71) Local resident, (Botley, Laburnum Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object</p>

	<p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object I object to all the restrictions</p> <p>I do not feel there is a need for this. I have lived in the area for 30 years on the bus route and have had no problems. It is just a control and money making schem</p>
<p>(o72) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – No objection/No opinion 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns I pay sufficient taxes to the municipality and have fulfilled my tax obligations, yet I receive no adequate services in return. Now, they're demanding payment from me simply for parking my car in front of my home. I strongly oppose this practice, as I fee</p> <p>I don't want to pay ney more money</p>
<p>(o73) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The cars largely are residents so permits won't help. The scheme will be expensive and not achieve anything</p>
<p>(o74) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object There are no issues with parking on my road and none that I know of in my neighbourhood. As a person with a disability this will have a huge impact on me. Friends and family will not be able to visit and park freely. My elderly parents will not be able to drive over to visit (after the Botley Road is opened some 3.5 years later) as now parking restrictions will be in place. This is a totally unnecessary measure and smacks of a money making exercise for the county council. There is no need for any further restrictions on Westminster Way. There is no</p>

	<p>issue. Please spend our council tax, which is incredibly high, on schemes that the community actually need, want and will benefit them. Rather than schemes which will directly be negative, particularly to the elderly and disabled, are more about dogma and making cash than really listening to local community. I am entirely against this scheme and after 3 years of having my life put on hold and losing income because of the closure of Botley Road I particularly resent this further imposition which will make my life more difficult.</p> <p>There are no issues with parking on my road and none that I know of in my neighbourhood. As a person with a disability this will have a huge impact on me. Friends and family will not be able to visit and park freely. My elderly parents will not be able to drive over to visit (after the Botley Road is opened some 3.5 years later) as now parking restrictions will be in place. This is a totally unnecessary measure and smacks of a money making exercise for the county council. There is no need for any further restrictions on Westminster Way. There is no issue. Please spend our council tax, which is incredibly high, on schemes that the community actually need, want and will benefit them. Rather than schemes which will directly be negative, particularly to the elderly and disabled, are more about dogma and making cash than really listening to local community. I am entirely against this scheme and after 3 years of having my life put on hold and losing income because of the closure of Botley Road I particularly resent this further imposition which will make my life more difficult.</p>
<p>(o75) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>No additional double yellow lines proposed on Arthray Road between Finmore Road and Westminster Way. This stretch of Arthray Road is on a bus route (4A) and on-the street parking often results in traffic backing up even when the buses are not passing thro</p> <p>I am a local resident who often has to give way / squeeze onto the verges when not given way on Arthray Road between Finmore Road and Westminster Way.</p>
<p>(o76) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>Supporting because parking has become worse over the past few years, to the extent that we and several over households have been blocked in by parked cars/vans so that we cant gat a vehicle out of the close, often for 24 hours. The main culprits have been commercial vans. Requests to the companies have not resulted in change.</p>
<p>(o77) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking isn't an issue I've experienced locally in any way that'll be fixed by charging residents eighty pounds per annum. The only real issues I've experienced have been persistent parking on yellow lines over extended periods which are risky. If the proposals do go through, I also believe the amount of visitors permits is very low</p>
<p>(o78) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Excellent proposal - the intersection of Arthray and Westminster is often excessively dangerous due to the amount of parked cars. Visibility is poor and crossing is hazardous for pedestrians. Limiting this to residents will help.</p>
<p>(o79) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Can't see the need for it, concerned about visitors parking</p> <p>The area is big. I don't see a huge problem currently and will just displace the cars elsewhere.</p>

<p>(o80) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Object to any restrictions on parking in the area.</p> <p>Object as it will severely limit allowed visitors and cost residents to park on the road. There is currently no issue with parking in the area and I have no problem with the amount of cars parking on the road</p>
<p>(o81) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I don't think there is a problem currently not that this will improve anything. The cars parked on the road are 90% residents from what I can see with no more than two cars per house (usually one on drive and one on road). Residents permits would achieve nothing bar forcing them to buy a permit while still blocking the road. The signage will also just be annoying and ugly while offering no benefit in reducing cars parked on the roads. The number of visitor permits is also quite low if say a friend or parents visit for a day or a morning here or there it can quickly hit 25 with a max of 50 seems low. If a friend pops over for a coffee more than 25 times a year that's not really a lot. Contractor wise this will simply add undue faff to the building work and renovations that go on in the area with the current provisions unsuitable if not able to transfer a permit across vehicles i.e. Typically one to two vehicles per house having work done but not always the same van and the admin involved will be excessive. Overall I just don't think there is a real problem ATM and the proposed permit area will simply add faff and stress to dealing with parking and visitors while achieving nothing in terms of reducing cars on the road here while adding a bunch of ugly signs everywhere. The scheme will be worse than the parking</p>
<p>(o82) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>So many non-resident cars are parking here and catching the bus into town or wherever their place of work is. They park all over the pavements and untidily where they can. The cars are left here all day. It's really getting out of hand.</p>
(o83) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Westminster way double yellow lines yes Other streets no It would cost residents £80 for a permit not happy with that</p>
(o84) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>Please do not introduce parking permits, it will negatively impact the local area. There is not a problem with parking in the area, and permits will only harm residents -- disproportionately those without a driveway.</p>
(o85) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>Both the double lines and the resident only parking. The way it works now it is perfectly fine and I don't see why resident now need to pay an extra £70 per year</p> <p>I think the area is already lacking parking for residents, so imposing this restriction would only be detrimental. There are many households with more than two cars (because of adult children living at home), what are they</p>

	<p>suppose to do? Especially if getting into town or to work is so hard. I would be more in favour for better transport going around the ring road first to get people less reliant on cars</p>
<p>(o86) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>There are currently no issues in the parking on Montagu Road and it is only used by residents anyway. We are outside the ring road and are therefore happily afforded a freer and quieter life than in central Oxford, one privilege of which is no permitting. It is such a pain to have visitors over when you have permits and I don't know why we would add this in to our community when so many have driveways and are happy with the current arrangement.</p>
<p>(o87) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking is not a challenge during the day on Montagu Road - restrictions would not bring improvement to residents. This would significantly impact residents finances and social lives however while additionally potentially disrupting further the challenges to the struggling Elms Parade retail area. There would also be challenges to me in facilitating child care arrangements with 2 working parents and limited off street parking - this is a further cost on working parents.</p> <p>Furthermore, introducing needless parking restrictions would negatively impact the value of local homes. The double yellows on Westminster way would likely improve congestion and safety.</p>
<p>(o88) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p>

	<p>There is no need for these restrictions to be introduced. It is a money making scheme whereby the council is charging local people for a parking permit place on the roads when there is no problem anyway. Plenty of areas to park. It is actually going to cause problems so that free parking areas will be restricted if permit areas are introduced. Plenty of room in car park too. Please do not introduce these parking permits!</p> <p>See above. There is no need to do this apart from making money for the council.</p>
(o89) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>People commuting to Oxford, taxis and other commercial vehicles often park on Montagu Road for lengthy periods</p>
(o90) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns The fact that there will be double yellow lines outside our property</p> <p>I don't see why I have to pay for a residents permit to park outside my own house! or to buy a bundle of visitors permits just so my family can visit! All because other people park in the zone to go to Oxford for work. We shouldn't have to pay because of other people. It sounds like it's just another cash collecting scheme against residents.</p>
(o91) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p>

	<p>The proposed parking permit system would be introduce unnecessary restrictions on local residents - for those who do not have off-street parking, it would be unfair to have to pay an annual permit fee. For those who do, the limitations on guest/visitor parking would be unnecessarily restrictive - maximum 50 per year is not nearly enough, this wouldn't even allow for one visitor per week. As someone who works from home, I need to be able to have clients/colleagues visiting more than once per week. There isn't currently a problem: there is no issue with commuters or visitors, there is plenty of space. This proposed permit scheme is unnecessary and would cause financial and logistical difficulties for local residents.</p>
<p>(o92) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I am objecting to the permit areas as the main problem in my road is after 6pm, when people are home from work so nothing would be improved . Maybe other roads are different</p>
<p>(o93) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object I object to both restrictions</p> <p>I object to the proposals because it will reduce the quality of life for residents. It becomes more difficult for friends/family to visit because of parking restrictions. It also makes it harder for contractors or anyone providing services. Especially in a time of increased cost of living it is also wrong that someone should have to pay for a permit to park outside their own house. In the part of Montagu Road where we live there is no issue with parking at the moment so these restrictions are not solving any problems they are simply creating problems.</p>
<p>(o94) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p>

	<p>No waiting at any time restrictions not needed in this road</p> <p>Commuter parking not a problem in this part of Elms Rise estate</p>
(o95) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object I object to any changes made to the current parking restrictions. It is completely unnecessary, we are doing fine how it is</p> <p>It is unnecessary. There are no current problems with parking in the area. It will also be very difficult for people to visit, family to help, etc. There is no reason to change the parking restrictions. There is no over parking and there never has been over parking.</p>
(o96) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Object to paying for permits to park on the road where I've lived for 25 years</p>
(o97) Local resident, (Botley, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>First, I note that the outcome of the 'Informal parking review' initiated in September 2025 have not been made public (or not in an easily discoverable way). This is relevant because the Council undertook to only proceed to this stage 'if there is a consensus for parking measures to be taken forward', and yet the consensus of all the local resident I have spoken to has been for no parking restrictions via permit on the residential streets. I wonder then</p>

	<p>to what extent the first consultation was window dressing and the Council plans to steam-roller these measures through regardless of the opinions of the residents who will be affected?</p> <p>Requiring parking permits because of poor decisions by the Council when approving the West Way development is penalising local residents. The Council is once again supporting profit maximisation for the developers rather than acting in the interests of its constituents. Proper provision for residents of the West Way development is what is needed, and the consequences of the original decision to limit parking provision for the new residential units was entirely foreseeable at the time it was approved. Had these detrimental proposals been part of the original development plan, I have to wonder if local opposition would not have resulted in either a different development or even no support for the development at all. As we see from the outcome of the development, most of the retail/commercial units are empty – again, the over-supply was quite predictable – and so there was always plenty of space for provision of sufficient on-site parking. As someone who has previously had to deal with increasing restrictions in parking around my home in London and Swindon, the Council would be naive to think that the boundaries they have set will deter residents of the West Way development from owning cars (being generous to the Council that that was the rationale for the original restrictions, rather than allowing the developer to maximise profit with the highest number of residential units in the space). Owners will simply park further up the hill and the Council, having backed itself into a corner, will repeat this exercise and extend the boundaries of resident permit parking rather than solving the actual problem it has created.</p> <p>I do support the introduction of double-yellow lines around road junctions, especially at the junction of Cumnor Hill and Hurst Rise Road where vehicles parked on the slip-road frequently block visibility of approaching traffic which is a particular hazard for cyclists.</p>
<p>(o98) Local resident, (Botley, Springfield Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Too many parked cars on Hurst rise road makes it one way to get on to Botley road. Arthray road is packed with cars. Really want no stopping for the pedestrianised area on church way as cars ignore this and park on the pavement! V dangerous in the mornings on way to school.</p>
<p>(o99) Local resident, (Botley, Springfield Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p>

	<p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>We agree with more double yellow lines but there needs to be more than one the proposal. As well as on the corners of the roads, there should also be lines opposite on the horizontal part of the 'T'.</p>
<p>(o100) Local resident, (Botley, Springfield Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Proposed double yellow lines in T junctions should be extended to include the straight line too (the line on top of the letter T)</p>
<p>(o101) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul'S Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>We don't believe that we need a permitting system in Botley. Neither do we believe that double yellows are necessary. We believe it to be a money making scheme from the perspective of the council. We do not find people using the roads around us (who are not residents) to be a major issue. It certainly has no significant impact on us. A permitting system would however be a real inconvenience, given the number of visitors we have and on a regular basis. We already pay a significant amount of council tax and we wholeheartedly disagree with this proposal.</p> <p>We are submitting a response because we are hopeful that the opinion of local residents will be heard and considered carefully when making a decision in relation to this proposed change.</p>
<p>(o102) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul'S Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>I believe it will reduce the amount of extra parked cars making it safer for people to get about. Currently people park in corners of junctions causing a hazard and safety concerns. Also I think it will protect the green area on the crescent which is now a meadow on one side, encouraging wildlife and others to use it for recreational purposes.</p>
<p>(o103) Local resident, (Botley, St Pauls Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object The proposed double yellows around St Pauls Crescent, and the restrictions on the end of crescent will bunch traffic down further into the crescent. We already have issues with residents blocking drives (and their visitors and contractors) past 38 -> 20s. This would further cause these issues to increase as houses from 50 with no parking are forced to park further down, and so on and so forth.</p> <p>See previous.</p>
<p>(o104) Local resident, (Botley, St Pauls Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object St Pauls Crescent Double Yellows and Parking Permits (ie. both)</p> <p>I live at No. 13 and have done since 1995. I could count on the fingers of one hand when I haven't been able to park outside my house in all this time. The notion of paying £80 to do so is ridiculous. Very occasionally we have visitors but even then there's no real issue. Ppl can park on the edge of the Green on the grids. It doesn't bother me a bit.</p>
<p>(o105) Local resident, (Botley, St Pauls Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Parking is virtually a non issue for people around the Crescent. These restrictions are heavy handed, costly, and unnecessary.</p>

	<p>I feel strongly that these proposals are unasked for, inappropriate, and impose unnecessary restrictions</p>
<p>(o106) Local resident, (Botley, St Pauls Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Hello, My concern is one of policing and monitoring that parking rules would be adhered to and also that as a consequence of introducing the restrictions motorists cause a secondary issue. For example on the last point, at St Pauls Crescent we have a green area in the middle of the crescent; what will stop motorists parking their cars on this, even more than they currently do, as they would be off-road, but actually consequently doing more environmental damage to the grass and ruining the verges than the current on-street parking? The only solution that I can see would be a total ban on all parking on the grass areas, could this be considered as part of the overall parking restrictions solution?</p>
<p>(o107) Local resident, (Botley, St Pauls Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>My only concern is why there are no yellow lines on Finmore Rd East side within St Pauls Crescent and between St Pauls Crescent and Montagu Rd? This is a bus route and if cars park on both sides of the road there is no access for buses.</p>
<p>(o108) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul's Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>I object to Resident Permit Parking Only - I think this is unnecessary and I don't want to pay for a permit. I also object to the proposed new Double Yellow Lines on St Paul's Crescent. They will be completely ineffective and</p>

	<p>will only encourage people to use the green/grassed area as a car park - causing damage to the green space and making a relatively small problem worse.</p> <p>Please see my response to question 7. I object both to residents only parking and the proposed double yellow lines on St Paul's Crescent - I don't want to have to pay for a scheme that will have no material benefit for residents.</p>
<p>(o109) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul's Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object I object to both permits and double yellow lines</p> <p>I am concerned about the double yellow lines on St Paul's Crescent, currently residents and visitors cars park near to the corners of the road and in the green side, if double yellow lines are put here, it will push the cars further into the road where there is very little room to park and will cause driveways to be blocked. In addition I object to the residents permits as I don't feel they are necessary, instead the money should be spent on allowing free parking in the large multistory car park for those local business workers and flat residents/ those staying at hotel, which would prevent this issue and save a lot of money. In addition I think it's really bad to be making local residents pay for permits when the issue has arisen from poorly thought out parking provision for local businesses and flats during the planning stage, this should be fixed through allowing free parking and not punishing local residents. Although I object to both the proposals, I acknowledge it has to be an all or nothing approach otherwise if some permits are introduced and not others then it will push all the parking onto those areas.</p>
<p>(o110) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul's Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The parking is somewhat heavy and turning up the grass verge and leaving a muddy mess. Also parking on corners making it dangerous to pull into another road.</p>

	<p>Having permits will stop the area from getting congested with people from the flats around the shops and workers who leave their cars all day.</p> <p>I am very much in favour of having permits.</p>
<p>(o111) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul's Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Around Arthray Road, Finore road, St Paul's Crescent the parking has become a nuisance. People are even parking on the green around St Paul's Crescent and destroying the grass. The flats around the shops do not provide space for the tenets so they park in the residential area behind.</p>
<p>(o112) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul's Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>many unknown cars are parked on weekdays, some leave their car to catch the public transport to go to Oxford. Sometimes their cars and vans park on the corner to the main road, they block the view of the oncoming vehicles, waiting for an accident to happen. Also they park on the green cause the damage to the grasses and already a large part of green were damaged around the curb of St Paul's Crescent.</p> <p>I support this plan for all residents who live in the Westminster area for their well being and road safety. The question is who will be policing to support this restriction.</p>
<p>(o113) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul's Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>I am supportive of the proposal broadly, but I'm not 100% convinced that the permit zone is needed on St Pauls Crescent, if the double yellow lines are added. I don't think we get lots of commuters etc parking here, but I get that we need to be aligned to the other roads.</p>
<p>(o114) Local resident, (Botley, St Paul's Crescent)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>I have particular concerns about restrictions on visitor parking as I am self-employed and teach from my home in St Paul's Crescent. I have worked hard to build my business and am extremely concerned that the parking restrictions will deter both current regular students and any new clients who would be deterred by the lack of available parking options.</p> <p>I am a local singing teacher and have taught from home in St Paul's Crescent since 2008. I am extremely concerned at the potential impact residents' parking will have on my business. To add some context, my partner and I have two vehicles but only space in our driveway to park one car and so one vehicle is always on the street. My students/clients often drive to our house for lessons and park on St Paul's Crescent and, as the lessons run for over an hour, I am concerned about the implications of any available nearby parking options which might only offer parking for a maximum of 60 minutes. Additionally, I am also concerned that the lack of available parking will deter any new students, which, bearing in mind we have just endured three years of restricted access due to Botley Road being closed, does not bode well for my ongoing prospects as a business.</p> <p>I understand that Carers and Health workers will be granted parking permits; if something similar could be extended to my own professional needs this would alleviate my immediate concerns regarding this proposal as I am genuinely concerned about the impact this will have on my business and this is my only income.</p>
<p>(o115) Local resident, (Botley, Sweetmans Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>I think it is an unnecessary restriction and don't want private parking in this area</p> <p>Reasons mentioned in previous comments</p>

<p>(o116) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>For me personally I have no problem with the status quo. I have off road parking although I occasionally park on the street e.g. down the hill in snowy weather. This proposal adds hassle, particularly for contractors.</p> <p>If there are genuine problems elsewhere then I accept this may be necessary. If it does go ahead, I would particularly appreciate a simpler system for contractors such as a certain number of free permits residents can obtain in advance.</p>
<p>(o117) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>I disagree with the proposal to introduce a permit based scheme. There are a couple of places in the area where commuter parking is noticeable (at the bottom of Hurst Rise Road and also on Finmore Road) but these roads do not have any house frontage so th</p> <p>As I local resident I believe the proposals are excessive, unnecessary and will cause additional expense at a time when cost of living is already an issue for many people.</p>
<p>(o118) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>I think it's a waste of time and money if it is not policed. There are yellow lines already in place in a number of places around botley e.g on corners and people ignore them.</p> <p>There are some areas of botley such arthray road that have serious parking problems and if this is introduced there it may push the problem elsewhere. In the Garth parking is not generally a major problem in the daytime at the moment but could be if it were partially introduced.</p>

<p>(o119) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>No evidence is provided to justify the proposal. No report on the previous informal consultation is presented. It's not clear how many people are currently affected by non- residents parking in this area or how badly. No attempt is made to quantify or qualify the benefit to the community. E.g. how many less cars will be parked on these roads as a result of the proposed scheme - a an overall proportion and in particular problem areas if identified. Of v such reports exist they should be v included a documents for the consultation.</p>
<p>(o120) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>To be able to park outside your own house is a right that you bought when purchasing your property . To have to pay extra and be restricted is not a fair proposal. Households have more cars than they are able to fit on their drives.. a result of life. To put restrictions outside houses and ask for payment is another scheme for the council to make money which will never be ploughed into the roads or be beneficial to the residents who are compromised. From a nature perspective residents will end up taking away their front gardens to use as parking areas to avoid being charged. There will be less trees bushes and lawns causing more flooding and less greenery for health benefits and less habitat for birds and wildlife. People will stop visiting friends because permits are a pain so social aspects of life are affected. Overall a bad proposal all around .</p> <p>I am a resident of Botley and have been for 24 years .. since moving here there has been change that I've approved of and some that I haven't .. life changes which I accept.</p>

	<p>This proposal is one I really object to for all of the reasons in the the last answer but also because it devalues your house and restricts and controls people's movements. Botley and Oxford is not the city it once was and on speaking to people (friends and clients) there is general unrest and a feeling of disgruntlement.. this will add to it . It's very sad that pride in your city is disappearing because people aren't being listened to and so people feel undervalued. I'm sure this consultation is just another lip service and that it's already been decided what will happen .. I object.</p>
<p>(o121) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Parking restrictions in The Garth.</p> <p>The Garth is currently only used by cars and workmen's vans coming to see people in the Garth. If restrictions are introduced this will mean that my visitors will not be able to park easily and come to see me. I am an elderly widow living on my own. I think it is totally unnecessary to have any parking restrictions in my road.</p>
<p>(o122) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object The subsequent resulting changing of front gardens into car parking is not environmentally friendly.</p> <p>I have existing parking but occasionally it is necessary to park off the drive and I object to having to buy an expensive for the rare instances it would be required.</p>
<p>(o123) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>I totally support the introduction of new double yellow lines, however these will only be impactful if enforced, and I have not seen any evidence of the existing lines being enforced. The existing lines are frequently ignored.</p>
<p>(o124) Local resident, (Botley, The Garth)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>This is long overdue. There are far too many cars in this area, particularly along Westminster Way (which is clearly used by commuters). There also needs to be better parking enforcement in this area, both in terms of the existing double yellow lines and those that are (hopefully) going to be introduced under these proposals.</p>
<p>(o125) Local resident, (Botley, The Gath)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>Its just an other way of making money from residents, that will increase every year!!!! We all have the right to live in Botely and not have to pay for a motion that this council will just pass to make more money and bring into force by force without a public vote.</p> <p>Because I do not want to pay any money to park in the street that I live in, I already pay Road tax. I also do not wish to inform the council who is coming to visit me at my place of residence, as this infringement of my right to privacy. If I have to apply for a permit for people to park in my street when visiting me, then it is a control situation and a lack of freedom of movement for people just to go about their daily business and I object most. strongly .</p>
<p>(o126) Member of public, (Botley, Westlands, Cumnor Hill)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>I have an apartment in Westlands and I notice that we are not included in the 'eligible properties' for a permit. We have 2 cars, and one parking space. With the proposed new arrangements we would have no option for parking the second car. We need two cars for work, so that is why we are objecting to this part of the proposal and request that you add Westlands into the 'eligible properties'.</p>
<p>(o127) Local resident, (Botley, Westminster Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Westminster Way has two very narrow lanes and any parking (and very often there can be a line of parked vehicles) can produce problems for the flow of traffic.</p>
<p>(o128) Local resident, (Botley, Westminster Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Have wanted this for some time. Need to keep Westminster Way clear for buses and traffic flow. Also occasionally I have my drive partially block by inconsiderate parking</p>
<p>(o129) Local resident, (Botley, Westminster Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>My objection relates to both a) the introduction of 'Residents permit holders parking only' (Monday-Saturday, 8am to 6pm and b) 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions (Double Yellow Lines). Parking is not a concern on Westminster Way. Introducing parking permits will make parking for residents and their guests more challenging, by introducing unnecessary hurdles. It also adds administrative burden to the council who will be obliged to enforce the permits, requiring ongoing budget for traffic officers and administrative fees.</p>

	<p>Leaving things as they are is perfectly suitable, and reap benefits for the taxpayer, the residents and the council.</p> <p>My objection relates to both a) the introduction of Residents permit holders parking only' (Monday-Saturday, 8am to 6pm and b) No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions (Double Yellow Lines. Parking is not a concern on Westminster Way.</p> <p>Introducing parking permits will make parking for residents and their guests more challenging, by introducing unnecessary hurdles.</p> <p>It also adds administrative burden to the council who will be obliged to enforce the permits, requiring ongoing budget for traffic officers and administrative fees.</p> <p>Leaving things as they are is perfectly suitable, and reap benefits for the taxpayer, the residents and the council.</p>
<p>(o130) Local resident, (Botley, Westminster Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns I am worried there will be no space left for trades people to park their vehicles when us and other locals need some work done.</p> <p>There are often cars parked, where there are no double yellow lines, from morning to evening. It is especially more relevant for the houses near the bottom of the Westminster Way. Therefore residents parking scheme makes sense so that locals can use these spaces and they are not hogged up by non-residents</p>
<p>(o131) Local resident, (Botley, Westminster Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Leave as is. Unnecessary changes</p> <p>'-</p>
<p>(o132) Local resident, (Botley, Westminster Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p>

	<p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object There should be no double yellows on Westminster Way, and surrounding areas. This is not necessary. It is a money making scheme for Oxfordshire County Council to charge residents.</p> <p>Objecting to proposals. Its a money orientated scheme for the Oxfordshire county council.</p>
<p>(o133) Local resident, (Botley, Yarnells Hill)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>It is getting increasingly difficult to navigate around these roads due to cars parked in many more locations.</p>
<p>(o134) Local resident, (Botley, Yarnells Hill)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? Yes</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns I support this for Westminster Way only.</p> <p>Westminster Way is a heavily trafficked road, and parked cars often obstruct the traffic, making it dangerous for bicycles that come up the hill because oncoming cars are forced onto their side of the road. I do not support the parking restrictions in the other roads as it would make it difficult for me to visit my neighbours. I would not be allowed to park in front of their house, while they are allowed to park in front of my house. I believe this is not good for community cohesion.</p>
<p>(o135) Local resident, (Botley, Beech Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>Car parking in this area is out of hand and makes the roads more dangerous for other users. I would support this in more roads around the area as it would be awful if the cars moved to Beech Road.</p>
<p>(o136) Local resident, (Botley, Beech Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object I object both restrictions.</p> <p>Visitors will seek parking space on nearby roads including ours, preventing us to park near our house.</p>
<p>(o137) Local resident, (Botley, Cedar Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>My only concern would be enforcement. Already there are double yellow lines and no waiting zones that are not enforced.</p>
<p>(o138) Local resident, (Botley, Crozier Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Both! Draconian solution that is just another attack on the motorist in Oxford. People should never have to pay to park outside their own houses. Go fix the route cause (free Park and ride parking, etc, etc.)</p> <p>Ridiculous solution! Just another attack on the motorists of Oxford.</p>
<p>(o139) Local resident, (Botley, Cumnor Hill)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I used to live on Harcourt Hill and now living on Cumnor Hill I get annoyed with having to permanently weave in and out of parked cars when going up and down Westminster Way.</p>
(o140) Local resident, (Botley, Cumnor Rise Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The parking is bad and people from outside the area come and park for the day.</p>
(o141) Local resident, (Botley, Eynsham)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object All</p> <p>Im fed up of living in a nanny state</p>
(o142) Local resident, (Botley, Hawthorn Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>The yellow lines currently in place control the traffic perfectly well. In the current economic climate residents don't need another cost and the local authority need not make work for itself.</p> <p>People have enough costs and admin in their lives. This proposal is not needed.</p>
(o143) Local resident, (Botley, Hawthorn Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p>

	<p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking can be a nightmare. The buses going up the hill regularly get stuck. People have driveways and should use them like we have to.</p>
<p>(o144) Local resident, (Botley, Hawthorn Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns Concerned by double yellow lines around St Paul's Crescent and so much of Westminster Way. Is this really necessary?</p> <p>Concerned about local business people, e.g. plumbers, electricians, gardeners etc. They shouldn't be so heavily penalised, especially if local.</p>
<p>(o145) Local resident, (Botley, Hazel Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns Seacourt Road and Hazel Road need to be included. The parking of non residents in these roads is already bad and is an accident waiting to happen.</p> <p>Since the redevelopment there are many more flats without parking and obviously they are parking as close as they can without paying. Something needs to be done to address these issues.</p>
<p>(o146) Local resident, (Botley, Hazel Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>Hazel Road has been completely left out of the survey which will force all extra cars to park in our street which is ridiculous</p>
<p>(o147) Local resident, (Botley, Hazel Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Why on earth are Seacourt and Hazel Road not being included in the planning for this scheme? Please answer this question for me. 2 of the closest roads to the flats, already residents are dealing with students bringing their cars, people who used our roads as their own park and ride to go into Oxford and already I know of 2 residents in the flats who leave their cars on our roads all day and night. They park inconsiderately, often blocking the road making it impossible for emergency services to reach the bungalows or houses down our road. Why is our road being sacrificed for parking on this scheme? Why are we not included when we are already suffering with people in huge vehicles taking up space on our roads? As someone born here, those flats are outrageously priced and myself and my siblings, working on so called Oxford living wage can not afford to move into these flats next to our home. As a result we have 4 working adults living at home, unable to move out and now unable to park outside our own home because wealthy people have moved to the area but don't have anywhere to put their SUVs. If you choose to rent in those flats then you also choose to rent somewhere with no parking. That SHOULD NOT BE A RESIDENTS ISSUE. No one wanted the flats here in the first place, especially as they offer literally NOTHING to local people working jobs like baristas or bartenders in the area as there is no affordable housing.</p>
<p>(o148) Local resident, (Botley, Hazel Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object No regard for parking on other roads opposite parade as parking will just be pushed out to unrestricted areas</p> <p>Previously responded</p>

<p>(o149) Local resident, (Botley, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>Do the double yellow lines go far enough on the sweeping entrance to Hurst Rise Road where there will be permit parking? As you enter HRR from Westway you will still not know whether there will be car on your side of the road that has had to commit to ove</p> <p>I generally support the process of parking permits and the cost level and visitor options seem reasonable. The only concern is not knowing the evidence that says this is safe (at the bottom of Hurst Rise Road) or that we are not just pushing the problem further away from the WestWay centre.</p>
<p>(o150) Local resident, (botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Concern that displacement of parking will occur, some by residents in the restriction area wishing to avoid annual payment or thro lack of available spaces due to greater controls, as well as those informal "park and ride" users who would likely migrate to Hurst Rise Road. That, in respect of Hutchcomb road, a serious risk to children and the elderly would arise, some when crossing between cats, many when cycling, skateboarding on the very steep hill due to increased on street parking which would occur due to material loss of vision due to increased in number of parked cars. This could lead to serious accidents. It is strongly suggested that the restrictions should include the full length of Hutchcomb road.</p>
<p>(o151) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>I support the yellow line proposals in the name of safety which has been an issue in this area for sometime. I don't support selective permit parking which simply displaces hazardous parking to an adjacent area which</p>

	<p>hasn't previously experienced problems. The proposals should encompass the whole estate at least up to and possibly including Arnold's Way. More incentives to use Park & Ride facilities would alleviate the problem in the first place.</p>
<p>(o152) Local Cllr (i.e. Town/Parish/District), (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns On Springfield Road, we need some double yellows half way along the road to ensure that cars can pull in between residents parking bays to pass vehicles coming from the opposite direction. If residents parking is introduced within the yellow scheme bound</p> <p>The parking issues are currently worst on Arthray and Montague Roads. We rarely see enforcement officers here, so if these new parking restrictions are introduced you will need to step up enforcement to ensure the rules are adhered to - currently people regularly park on double yellows without getting any fines.</p>
<p>(o153) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object By introducing this scheme, people will simply park on the surrounding roads for free. I live on one of those roads, so this would directly affect residents and increase traffic on Hutchcomb Road. This would shift the parking problem onto neighbouring residential streets rather than solving it. Secondly, I object to residents being charged £80 for a permit. This should be free for up to a reasonable limit, for example two members per household. Charging existing residents for parking near their own homes is unreasonable. The proposals for the development should also include sufficient on-site parking provision, rather than allowing the demand to spill over onto neighbouring residential roads.</p> <p>By introducing this scheme, people will simply park on the surrounding roads for free. I live on one of those roads, so this would directly affect residents and increase traffic on Hutchcomb Road. This would shift the parking problem onto neighbouring residential streets rather than solving it.</p>

	<p>Secondly, I object to residents being charged £80 for a permit. This should be free for up to a reasonable limit, for example two members per household. Charging existing residents for parking near their own homes is unreasonable.</p> <p>The proposals should also include sufficient on-site parking provision, rather than allowing the demand to spill over onto neighbouring residential roads.</p>
(o154) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The major reason that I object to the plans to the plans is that I feel that the effect of the plans will increase the number of cars parked on my part of Hutchcomb Road will increase, as some cars will now park on my (part of the) road because they will not be able to park where they used to park. I also feel that the solution to the local minor parking problem is overly Bureaucratic, and will cost some of my neighbours unnecessarily.</p>
(o155) Local resident, (Botley, Laburnum Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>As you well know parked vehicles will relocate further onto the estate as has happened at the majority of CPZ's the County has introduced. I am unable to find a dotted blue line so guessing the solid blue line is for increased double yellow lines. Howe</p> <p>I don't really know as you wouldn't take any notice of what we said But consider the pinch points for public transport around the estate</p>
(o156) Local resident, (Botley, Laburnum Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>I feel that having resident permit parking may displace a lot of parked cars into surrounding roads - including my own.</p> <p>I support the “no waiting at any time” proposals as there are many areas down by Westminster Way shopping precinct where cars are inappropriately parked or stopped.</p>
(o157) Local resident, (Botley, Lime Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>‘Residents permit holders parking only’ restrictions – Object ‘No Waiting at Any Time’ restrictions – Object Residents having to pay £80/year/car to park near their property. While now is free.</p> <p>I don’t think is fair that residents will have to pay to get parking permits for themselves. The benefit does not seem big enough to justify another tax.</p>
(o158) Local resident, (Botley, Maple Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>‘Residents permit holders parking only’ restrictions – Partially support/concerns ‘No Waiting at Any Time’ restrictions – Support</p> <p>I support the proposal in general, however I am concerned about the displacement of cars that currently park within the area proposed, especially as I live on the first road outside the area on the hill on Elms Rise.</p>
(o159) Local resident, (Botley, Maple Close)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>‘Residents permit holders parking only’ restrictions – Object ‘No Waiting at Any Time’ restrictions – Support</p> <p>We live just outside this zone. Implementing the parking permits will just push people to park on our road. The existing double yellow lines should be properly enforced- this would make moving around the estate by car much easier. Double yellow lines at the bottom of Hurst Rise Road (where it joins Cumnor Hill) would be helpful to make getting off the estate easier and to reduce the large amount of commuter parking which happens here. It would be</p>

	<p>helpful if other parking rules which already exist were actually enforced- people park opposite junctions, blocking pavements etc. You don't need parking permits to sort out the problems here.</p>
<p>(o160) Local resident, (Botley, North Hinksey Lane)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>The restrictions of any residents parking. I'm not at all convinced that the residents are in favour and do not understand the issues at stake. It is all too easy for councillors to become deluded that they have enough support for such measures. I refer you to to imposition of parking restrictions on North Hinksey Lane, which I strongly believe did not receive the backing of those residents who actually live there.</p> <p>The imposition of a solution without the democratic backing of the residents in the parish.</p>
<p>(o161) Local resident, (Botley, Poplar Rd)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I support these proposals as the area is clogged up with parked cars and navigating on a bike or in a car is difficult. My concern about the scheme is that it will displace non resident cars into neighbouring streets and into the car parks. The car parks are there for a reason but with a high incidence of error and issuing of charge notices which are faulty.</p>
<p>(o162) Local resident, (Botley, Poplar Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>Poplar Road is not included in this area and already struggles with non residents parking in our limited spaces. By excluding this road from the restrictions this problem will increase</p>

	<p>Poplar Road is not included in this area and already struggles with non residents parking in our limited spaces. By excluding this road from the restrictions this problem will increase</p>
<p>(o163) Local resident, (Botley, Raleigh Park Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I would like to see this scheme extended to include Raleigh Park Road and Sweetmans Road as the parking is as bad here is in the proposed area, and also the double yellow lines on Westminster Way extended all the way up the the A34 slip road. The road is simply too narrow to carry the current volume of traffic and have parking allowed anywhere along it. As a cyclist as well as a driver, parking on these busy but narrow roads is a real hazard.</p>
<p>(o164) Local resident, (Botley, Raleigh Park Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I support the proposed residents permit parking area but I am very concerned that the current parking problems will just be move out to roads like Raleigh park Rd, Yarnells Hill, Arnold way. The proposed residents permit parking needs to be extended to these roads. Parking in these roads is already a problem especially with the amount of HMO's increasing the Botley area.</p>
<p>(o165) Local resident, (Botley, Seacourt Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns Why Seacourt Rd wasn't included? I have previously mentioned it to the parish council and Oxfordshire when I applied for a disabled bay. Hazel rd have put up private notices saying no parking and Seacourt rd is now more heavily clogged because of that a</p>

	<p>Didn't know about these proposals until today 27 March</p>
<p>(o166) Local resident, (Botley, Sweetmans Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Generally support the intention to make sure people don't use the areas for all day commute or visitor parking. But concerned about the permit cost for residents. And more concerned that it will simply move the parking problem to the surrounding roads not covered by the proposed scheme i.e. our road. Sweetmans Road already has a parking problem and I'm concerned this will make it worse</p>
<p>(o167) Local resident, (Botley, West Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>Westminster way has many blind turns and having parked cars all the way it will be even more difficult than now to pass from. It helps to get to Botley from A34 through this road than getting around the roundabout where the traffic is stuck most of the times.</p>
<p>(o168) Local resident, (Botley, West Wsy)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>There has been a significant increase in the last five years in people who use the neighbourhood roads to 'Park and Ride'. In Westminster way parking is taking place partially on pavements creating a safety hazard for pedestrians and impeding the flow of traffic on the road by reducing it to a single carriageway. As the majority of residents in the designated area have off road parking the permit scheme should resolve the park and riders and not inconvenience residents unduly.</p>

(o169) Local resident, (Botley, Yarnells Hill)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Whilst I think the introduction of parking permits and parking restrictions are necessary, I hope these are extended to other areas which are also affected but have not been addressed in the plan. I would also ask how will these parking restrictions be enforced as I see no action taken on inconsiderate or dangerous parking currently.</p>
(o170) Local resident, (Botley, Yarnells Hill)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns Keeping Westminster Way and Arthray Road as clear as possible is the most important thing. If the affected residents want to be in the permit scheme that's fine; I don't mind either way. I am concerned about the costs of parking for visiting tradesmen and</p> <p>as above</p>
(o171) Local resident, (Botley,)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – No objection/No opinion 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>I don't really have anything to say, my one concern is however how will it impact on the neighbouring roads? The road I live now is full of park and riders. So will this push more cars in to our road?</p>

<p>(o172) Local resident, (Botley, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Parking near to Westway on surrounding roads, especially Arthray Road is causing traffic problems and congestion. I support this new parking strategy to manage on street parking better and enforce the double yellow lines currently in place that are generally ignored</p>
<p>(o173) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The area will just push issues into Hutchcomb Rd and hurst rise rd. there are already cars that park all day on our street and block driveways. This will be made worse as not a part of the planned permit area. It should be extended further up hutchcomb road and Hurst rise road</p>
<p>(o174) Local resident, (Botley, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I think the proposed area should extend the whole of Hutchcomb to where Cedar Road begins, Just past Toynbee close. And also to halfway up Hurst Rise Road to where the private road begins Cumnor Rise Road. The main issue has been on Arthray Road, and the bottom of Hurst Rise Road and Springfield Road. Not The Garth, Crabtree or Cope Close, or the lower part of Hutchcomb Road between Montagu and Springfield, these roads are far too narrow to park anyway and risk wing mirrors being knocked off. No one parks here apart from residents. The proposed area pushes the impact and problem up to the slightly wider roads to the lower end of Hutchcomb Road from house number 22 upwards. When Elms Rise roads gets steeper people will be less inclined to 'park and ride' and walk up a hill. I believe this proposal requires more thought. You are simply pushing the problem on to other residents. It is divisive.</p>

	<p>I disagree with the chosen area but agree with the concept of permitting the whole area. I don't believe you have considered behaviours of those residents who won't buy permits and will park up and dump cars in the unpermitted area. Please, please increase the scope of the permit area.</p> <p>Looking at your plan I believe a 'line' from West to East should be drawn from the bottom of the screen shot map on Hurst Rise Road, to include all of Hutchcomb Road to align with Toynbee Close, Hawthorn Close and across to the back of The Garth.</p> <p>Safety of school kids and the speed at which cars already travel up Hutchcomb and Hurst Rise are already an issue. With more cars left parked for length of time on unpermitted roads you risk cars darting in and out of gaps at pace and an accident. I was in full support of you identifying the issue but am disappointed with your proposal. The local issue is not the distance from Westway shops it's the distance from cumnor hill and cyclists getting out their commuter bikes. The area needs to run parallel to cumnor hill not a circular 'buffer' zone from the shopping parade which is what appears to have happened. Please treat Hurst Rise Road as one road, and Hutchcomb Road as one road. Don't split it. I believe this is an error and you will face further spending and enforcement in the near future to remedy it. Please pre-empt this and do it now.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
<p>(o175) Local resident, (Botley, Sycamore Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Parking restrictions</p> <p>We have concerns that introducing parking restrictions lower down, will push those parking towards us</p>
<p>(o176) Local resident, (Botley, Sycamore Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Both 6 & 7</p> <p>Fine as is.</p>

<p>(o177) Local resident, (Botley, Westminster Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>We wouldn't be within the boundary of properties for the new parking despite living 5 metres from the street and using this road to parking when we have guests. We would not object if we were also within the properties that are eligible to get parking permits for this road.</p>
<p>(o178) Local resident, (Botley, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I live at 18 Hurst Rise Road. This is just outside the Proposed Residents Permit Parking area - Just beyond the junction with Springfield Road.</p> <p>When you look at the map of the parking restriction area, it is clear that our stretch of the road will be overwhelmed with non-local parked vehicles.</p> <p>Our stretch of Hurst Rise Road will become the new go-to parking spot for commuters who park and bike or take the bus into town; people who work in Botley; people living in the new Botley centre flats who have limited access to free parking; contractors working nearby who won't want to pay the fee for parking within the restricted area; visitors who regularly stay longer than overnight nearby and cannot access visitor permits as they are limited in number.</p> <p>Currently all these groups are distributed over the entire area that you propose to restrict. Yes it's a nuisance, but the nuisance is shared between literally every road you propose to restrict. Nearest to us, non-locals use Conifer Close, Springfield Road, and the lower end of Hurst Rise Road to park.</p> <p>I believe all the above will simply park from Springfield Road up the hill (ie southwards) on Hurst Rise Road. Where else can they park for free nearby? Nowhere! So they will be filling all available space where I live.</p> <p>This is a problem because (speaking just for my household, but neighbours have similar situations) it is very difficult, sometimes impossible, and often dangerous, to exit our drive when cars are parked downhill (north) from our entrance, or opposite our entrance. Which they will be continually, with the proposed system.</p>

Also, if I understand your proposal correctly, we ourselves will not be eligible to get visitors parking permits, as we are not in the proposed area. So given the strong likelihood that our section of the road is completely parked up with vans, commuters, etc, where can our visitors park?

PLEASE TAKE INTO ACCOUNT: We live on one of the steepest hills in Oxford. My husband and I are both over 70. Therefore many of our visitors are also older people. I doubt some of them can walk far enough up the hill to wherever there is a free space to park. Go downhill, and they are quickly into the restricted area. Where will they park?

Two nearby neighbours are living alone, in their mid-80s. They are both almost housebound so they have many visitors. Where will they park? I am sure you see the problem.

My requests and suggestions:

Either (1) (My preferred outcome)

Cancel the entire plan, except for the double yellow lines which will be a welcome safety feature on corners. This will distribute the excess cars around the entire area and give us ease and freedom, as well as preventing all the lines and parking poles that will be needed to run this scheme.

Or (2)

Extend the restricted area up Hurst Rise Road to the corner (above numbers 47 and 56), or to the top (southernmost end) of the road. As our road is so steep, I imagine even die-hard free-parking seekers will find it too far to walk or bike to their freely parked car way up at the top! They might even use the Park and Ride!

(3)

Wherever you draw the limit, give those just outside it access to buy visitor parking permits. (Please see rationale above).

(4)

Change the restricted hours to 10am to 3pm (some areas in London are very effectively restricted in this way). This eliminates all-day commuters and all-day contractors, while making life simpler, easier and more pleasant for residents and their visitors.

(5)

Extend the length of the dropped curb for our drive (and perhaps other's drives in a similar way), so that if/when people park near our exit, or opposite it, we have a greater chance of getting in and out safely.

(6)

Increase the amount of visitors permits allowed. (Not sure I understand your letter here. Are you saying that you will only allow 50 visitor permits per year? That feels shockingly restrictive on people's private lives. Or maybe you meant 50 blocks of 25 permits per year per household? The letter is not clear to me.)

I hope my comments are useful and help you focus not only on the roads you are planning to restrict, but on the very serious impact on those just outside this new restricted area.

<p>(o179) Local resident, (Botley, Oxford, Maple Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>No need for the parking permits and certainly no need to charge.</p>
<p>(o180) Local resident, (Botley, Oxford, Yarnells Hill)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Both</p> <p>I live on Yarnells hill which is not in the parking permit area, if enforced cars will start to park on our hill making entry to our residence and road side safety difficult. People will park on the pavement obstructing buddies, wheelchairs and mobility aids. The restrictions will also hamper community cohesion with less mobile people restricted from visiting friends and family, and limiting people 'popping in' on neighbours en route to home/destination. Also added cost of purchasing parking permits seems unfair with the increase in cost of living.</p>
<p>(o181) Local resident, (Botley, Oxford, Hazel Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Supporting because driving and even trying to walk around Botley is very difficult with cars parked everywhere, often dangerously. Please consider including Seacourt and Hazel Road in the permit area. We have many vehicles parking here every day and catching the bus to work, along with parents parking here for school drop offs and collections. Our roads are quite narrow and we often have to squeeze past cars to get to our homes. Lorries and cars are constantly parking on the double yellow lines in Seacourt Road- none of them ever receive a</p>

	<p>parking ticket. Residents of the new flats at West Way Square are also parking here daily. This situation will get worse for us if surrounding roads become permit parking and we are not included.</p>
<p>(o182) Local resident, (Botley, Laburnum Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>If parking is not made free for longer time periods in the Westminster Way car park area then this restricts local residents with poor mobility from accessing the services in the Westminster Way development. We want to bring more people to those services (many that are already struggling to survive), and encourage people to stay for longer. This prevents that, and is only going to lead to the loss of even more services and businesses from the area. We have to rely on the private services (such as the gym) as the council are closing most public services in the area. There is lots of parking available at all times of the day within the suggested areas for new permitting. You can always find lots of spaces on every road. Almost all residents in the area also have private driveways.</p>
<p>(o183) Local resident, (Cumnor hill, Cumnor Hill)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object All</p> <p>Stop making it so difficult for people to just live where they live/making people pay to park everywhere</p>
<p>(o184) Local resident, (Botley, Hazel Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns Yes - include us!! In Hazel why have you not done this??? It is a problem when commuters park up & take bike out of boot! and people who live in homes without parking also freely park 😡 we should not have to pay for the privilege of parking in our road- we</p>

	<p>Because you have left our road out- so it will be carnage- parking by the world and his wife available where it is restricted everywhere else!! Why have you not included our roads- it's bad enough now!! 🙄♀</p>
<p>(o185) Local resident, (Headington, Cherwell Drive)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Adding more restrictions will simply add to the plethora of difficulties that have been heaped upon vulnerable residents, many of whom have access needs and require friends and carers like myself to visit and help them on a daily basis</p> <p>The plethora of difficulties I mention is largely due to the shockingly lengthy Botley Road closure, which has absolutely suffocated the area. If there were any considerations for the elderly and disabled, they were at best alarmingly naive. At worst, tritely dismissive. It has really destroyed my trust and rethink elections.</p>
<p>(o186) Local resident, (Botley, Raleigh Park Road.)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I'm concerned that these parking restrictions will have a knock-on effect on neighbouring roads such as the one on which I live. At the moment, Raleigh Park Road falls just outside the perimeter of the proposed restrictions. An obvious worry is that all the commuters and school-run drivers etc will migrate to the nearest unrestricted roads; under the current plan, my road will be one of these. Raleigh Park Road is already under pressure for car space and I object to any plans that would increase that pressure.</p>
<p>(o187) Local resident, (North Hinksey, Raleigh Park Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – No objection/No opinion 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p>

	I'm responding to your request
(o188) Local resident, (North Hinksey, Raleigh Park Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object</p> <p>I object to the residents parking scheme particularly due to the inconvenience and costs it will cause to residents and their genuine visitors. I do not consider that the parking problem is really that bad. A lot of the cars belong to residents. The roads are full of cars more after 6pm anyway and this scheme won't change that.</p> <p>I object to the current scheme as it will push the parking problems further out in the area into other roads without restrictions and will cause inconvenience and costs to the people that live within the scheme. I think the desired outcome could be achieved by putting no parking restrictions at different times in different areas (Eg opposite sides of the road) This would stop people who come and park all day and leave their cars here. I don't think the bigger problem is people using West Way Square, I think it is people using the area as a park and ride or park and walk into Oxford (as they do in my road) Also , as the restrictions end at 6pm, this will not stop residents of the 180 flats which were built with practically no parking and a "no car use" restriction from parking in the roads overnight.</p> <p>I don't actually believe that you will take any notice of anyones comments and will just push ahead with this any way. I don't have any confidence in Oxfordshire County Council to listen to people and act in the interests of most people. I think you just push ahead with your own agenda anyway, particularly where traffic and transport is concerned.</p>
(o189) Local resident, (North Hinksey, Sweetmans Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Partially support/concerns 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Yarnells Hill and Raleigh Park Road sit just outside the proposed permit parking area. With easy access to Oxford via Willow Walk, commuter parking already happens. My concern is that this proposal will push more people to park on these two roads, to avoid the restrictions.</p>

<p>(o190) Local resident, (Oxford, Arnolds Way)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>As a cyclist who commutes to work in Jericho it is very dangerous when parked cars cause congestion and block route visibility.</p>
<p>(o191) Member of public, (OXFORD, Burrows Close)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object All Object</p>
<p>(o192) Local resident, (Oxford, Cedar Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – No objection/No opinion 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>I live outside of the affected area in Botley. If I drive to the West Way shops then the existing pay and display car parks are sufficient for my needs, I don't need to park on nearby streets. The proposed measures would discourage commuters and workers using residents' street parking.</p>
<p>(o193) Local resident, (Oxford, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p>

	<p>No current need outside our property for parking permits as there is always space. There should also not be a charge for an annual resident's parking permit. Westminster way can be dangerous. I cycle there with my children on my bike and when cars park up, especially on corners, cars will drive quickly around the corner on the wrong side of the road. On these corners the cars don't see you until the last minute. they drive quickly and I have had several occasions where I and my children have been put at risk. Parking along this road should be strictly monitored. Cars also park on the pavement, blocking it. This is difficult for parents pushing prams and very dangerous.</p>
<p>(o194) Local resident, (Oxford, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object In wil make. People park in other area botley and the charge to expensive to park outside your own house</p> <p>To stop this</p>
<p>(o195) Local resident, (Oxford, Crabtree Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>The extension of double yellow lines will help with making areas clearer, safer and easier to travel along for cars and buses. I do not believe there is much of an issue with parking in other areas and buses seem to traverse the area easily. For those having to pay for permits, I believe the yearly charge to be expensive. I also think 25 visitor permits a year is not enough and limiting it to 50 is wrong. That is under one visitor a week! Plus they have to pay again for the second half. Also if residents require a contractor, any work they require will cost more as the cost of parking will be added to their bill. Also is the exemptions for carers? Professional or otherwise? Or other necessary regular visitors?</p>
<p>(o196) Local resident, (Oxford, Hazel Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p>

	<p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>Need enforcement as current restrictions eg double yellow are not enforced Seacourt and Hazel roads need to be included else parking will be displaced to these roads</p>
<p>(o197) Local resident, (Oxford, Hurst Rise Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – No objection/No opinion</p> <p>More strongly I want the parking permit zone on Hurst Rise Road to be extended up to the corner (house numbers 54 and 47). This to avoid that the commuters that are now parking at the bottom of the road will move up the road and make entry into drives problematic, also with school traffic going up and down the road. I am also objecting against parking permit on Saturday.</p>
<p>(o198) Local resident, (Oxford, Hutchcomb Road)</p>	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Partially support/concerns</p> <p>The proposal to introduce new "No Waiting at Any Time" restriction / Double-Yellow Lines is too vague to be supported unconditionally. I do support new double-yellow lines specifically around junctions to improve visibility.</p> <p>1) While I am supportive of new double-yellow lines directly around junctions in order to improve visibility, the proposal to introduce "new 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions (double yellow lines)" is too vague to be supported unconditionally</p> <p>2) The proposed residents permit parking will not alleviate the problem but push it into areas not included in the scheme, e.g. to Hutchcomb Road South of the junction with Springfield Road (uphill towards Cedar Road / Arnold's Way). This will make parking for residents in this area even more difficult than it already is.</p> <p>3) The introduction to this survey states that the new parking restrictions, which include a chargeable resident's permit, are in response to development in the Botley Centre area (P16/V0246/FUL). It is unreasonable that local residents are expected to pay of a private enterprise's commercial endeavour, or for mistakes/oversights made during the planning process for the development mentioned above.</p>

(o199) Local resident, (Oxford, Montagu Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object Not waiting at any time restrictions</p> <p>This is bad for the disabled in particular, who may appreciate and/or need friends, family and carers to visit and park outside their homes. It is also a nightmare for the elderly who similarly have particular needs.</p>
(o200) County Cllr, (Oxford, New Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Support 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Support</p> <p>From an active travel and walking perspective, improving visibility through introducing double yellow lines is a significant safety improvement. The new sheffield stands are welcome. And in general discouraging commuter parking is a positive.</p>
(o201) Local resident, (Oxford, Beech Road)	<p>Do you live within the proposed area ? No</p> <p>'Residents permit holders parking only' restrictions – Object 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions – Object At Pauls crescent and no need for residents only</p> <p>I don't see why we need this. I often visit friends or work around the area and need my car. I won't be able to drive there anymore.</p>

